

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

_		
2	COMMISSIONERS 2010 MAR	-2 A 8: 20
345	GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY	COMMISSION CONTROL
6 7 8 9	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC. FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE THEREON.	OCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257 STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
11	The Utilities Division of the Arizona Corpora	tion Commission ("Staff") hereby files the
12	Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff Witnesses Gerald W. I	Becker, Juan C. Manrique, and Jian W. Liu
13	in the above-referenced matter.	
14	RESPECTFULLY submitted this 2 nd day of Mar	ch, 2010.
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18		Muther
19	⁹ Kimberly F	litchell, Staff Attorney Ruht, Staff Attorney
20	1200 11 050	Washington Street
21		rizona 85007 : (602) 542-3402
22		
23	23	
24	Original and thirteen (13) copies	Arizona Corporation Commission
25	of the foregoing were filed this	DOCKETED
26		MAR - 2 2010
27	Arizona Cornoration Commission	DOCKETED BY
28	Phoenix Arizona 85007	

1	Copy of the foregoing emailed this
2	2nd day of March, 2010 to:
3	Jay Shapiro FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC
4	3003 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85012
5	Attorneys for Rio Rico Utilities, Inc.
6	Daniel W. Pozefsky Chief Counsel
7	RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE 1110 West Washington, Suite 220
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	Michael W. Patten ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC
10	One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Rio Rico Properties, Inc.
12	
13	
14	Myduy
15	pp of our
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	·
21	
22	g .
23	
24	
25	l l
26	

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

KRISTIN K. MAYES
Chairman
GARY PIERCE
Commissioner
PAUL NEWMAN
Commissioner
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
Commissioner
BOB STUMP
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257 RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC,

FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANTS AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

GERALD W. BECKER

PUBLIC UTILITIES ANALYST V

UTILITIES DIVISION

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MARCH 2, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE OF SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY	1
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	2
RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MR. GREGORY SORENSEN	3
Low Income Tariff	3
RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIOMONY OF MR. EICHLER	
NARUC Guidelines for Cost Allocation and Affiliate Transactions	9
RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MR. THOMAS BOURASSA	
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes ("ADIT") – Both Divisions	13
ADIT-Fixed Asset Component	14
ADIT-AIAC Associated Component	15
CIAC – Both Divisions	
Regulatory Commission (Rate Case) Expense – Both Divisions	18
Transportation Expense - Both Divisions	19
Outside Services and Outside Services - Other – Water Division Only	19
Rate Design	19

SCHEDULES

WATER DIVISION

DEST		
REVE	ENUE REQUIREMENT	GWB-1
GROS	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-2
RATE	BASE - ORIGINAL COST	GWB-3
SUMI	MARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS	GWB-4
RATE	BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - TO RECLASSIFY PLANT	GWB-5
RATE	BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - CIAC NOT RECORDED	GWB-6
RATE	BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	GWB-7
	BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION & CONTRIBUTION	
	IN AID OF CONSTRUSTION	
Not U	sed	
OPER	ATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED	GWR-10
	MARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR	
	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - RECLASSIFY POWER FROM WASTEWATER	
OPER	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE	GWB-12
OPER	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - RATE CASE EXPENSE	GWP 14
OPER	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE	UWD-14 CWD 15
OPER	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - INCOME TAXES	GWD-13
	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #6 - Not Used	
OPER	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #0 - NOT OSEG	GWB-17
	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #7 - OUT OF FERIOD EXPENSE	
OPER	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #9 - CORPORATE EXPENSE ALLOCATION	GWB-19
	ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #10 – TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE	
WAST	EWATER SCHEDULES	
REVE	ENUE REQUIREMENT	GWB-1
REVE GROS	SNUE REQUIREMENTSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-1
GROS RATE	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR E BASE - ORIGINAL COST	GWB-2
GROS RATE	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR E BASE - ORIGINAL COST	GWB-2
GROS RATE SUM	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR E BASE - ORIGINAL COST MARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4
GROS RATE SUMN RATE	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5
GROS RATE SUMN RATE	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS
GROS RATE SUMM RATE RATE	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS
GROS RATE SUMM RATE RATE	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6
GROS RATE SUM! RATE RATE Not U Not U	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7
GROS RATE SUMI RATE RATE Not U Not U Not U	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7 GWB-8
GROS RATE SUMM RATE RATE Not U Not U Not U OPER	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7 GWB-8 GWB-9
GROS RATE SUMI RATE RATE Not U Not U OPER SUMI	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7 GWB-8 GWB-9 GWB-10
GROS RATE SUMM RATE RATE Not U Not U OPER SUMM OPER	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR BASE - ORIGINAL COST MARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION & CONTRIBUTION IN AID OF CONSTRUSTION sed sed sed ATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED MARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - RECLASSIFY POWER TO WATER	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7 GWB-8 GWB-9 GWB-11 GWB-12
GROS RATE SUMM RATE RATE Not U Not U OPER SUMM OPER OPER	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR BASE - ORIGINAL COST MARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION & CONTRIBUTION IN AID OF CONSTRUSTION sed sed sed ATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED MARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - RECLASSIFY POWER TO WATER ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7 GWB-9 GWB-11 GWB-12 GWB-13
GROS RATE SUMM RATE RATE Not U Not U OPER SUMM OPER OPER	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR BASE - ORIGINAL COST MARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION & CONTRIBUTION IN AID OF CONSTRUSTION sed sed sed ATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED MARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - RECLASSIFY POWER TO WATER ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - Not Used	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7 GWB-8 GWB-9 GWB-11 GWB-12 GWB-13
GROS RATE SUMM RATE RATE Not U Not U OPER SUMM OPER OPER OPER	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR BASE - ORIGINAL COST MARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION & CONTRIBUTION SEE	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-4 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7 GWB-9 GWB-10 GWB-11 GWB-12 GWB-13 GWB-14
GROS RATE SUMI RATE RATE Not U Not U OPER SUMI OPER OPER OPER OPER	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR BASE - ORIGINAL COST MARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION & CONTRIBUTION IN AID OF CONSTRUSTION sed sed Sed ATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED MARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - RECLASSIFY POWER TO WATER ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - Not Used ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE ATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - INCOME TAXES	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7 GWB-8 GWB-9 GWB-10 GWB-11 GWB-12 GWB-13 GWB-14 GWB-15
GROS RATE SUMI RATE Not U Not U Not U OPER SUMI OPER OPER OPER OPER OPER	SS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR BASE - ORIGINAL COST MARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION & CONTRIBUTION SEE	GWB-2 GWB-3 GWB-5 ONS GWB-6 GWB-7 GWB-9 GWB-11 GWB-12 GWB-13 GWB-14 GWB-15 GWB-16

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC. DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257

The Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff witness Gerald W. Becker addresses the following issues for Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. ("Rio Rico" or "Company").

For the Water Division, Staff recommends an increase in revenue of \$1,327,271, or a 71.85 percent increase, over test year revenue of \$1,847,256. The total annual revenue of \$3,174,527 produces an operating income of \$718,412 for a 9.20 percent rate of return on Staff's recommended fair value rate base of \$7,808,822.

For the Wastewater Division, Staff recommends a decrease in revenue of \$303,912, or an 18.46 percent decrease, over test year revenue of \$1,829,976. The total annual revenue of \$1,526,064 produces an operating income of \$296,875 for a 9.20 percent rate of return on Staff's recommended fair value rate base of \$3,226,899.

Staff's Surrebuttal Testimony responds to Rio Rico's Rebuttal Testimony on the following issues:

Rebuttal testimony of Gregory Sorensen

Low Income Tariff

The Company proposes a low income tariff that includes a ten percent fee for carrying and administrative costs and specifies customer eligibility to participate at 100 percent of the federal poverty level. In its direct testimony, Staff stated that it wanted to undertake additional consideration before making a recommendation. In its surrebuttal testimony, Staff provides additional factors for consideration in the development and implementation of a low income tariff.

Rebuttal testimony of Peter Eichler

Cost Allocation Methodology

Mr. Eichler provides extensive discussion regarding the NARUC Guidelines for Cost Allocation and Affiliate Transactions, and the Company continues to maintain that the Company's allocation of corporate expenses is correct. Staff has reviewed Mr. Eichler's rebuttal testimony and continues to disagree.

Rebuttal testimony of Thomas Bourassa

Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes ("ADIT") – Both Divisions

In response to Staff inquiries, the Company has performed an extensive analysis and recomputation. The Company has recalculated its total ADIT debit from a \$1,101,805 debit to a \$445,938 debit. Staff continues to disagree with two of the three components of ADIT as discussed herein.

The Company's rebuttal proposes the water division share of ADIT as a \$314,965 debit, or approximately 70.63 percent of the total proposed for both divisions. Staff recommends \$82,782 debit for the water division, or approximately 70.63 percent of Staff's recommended total for both divisions.

The Company's rebuttal proposes the wastewater division share of ADIT as a \$130,973 debit, or approximately 29.37 percent of the total proposed for both divisions. Staff recommends \$34,423 debit for the wastewater division, or approximately 29.37 percent of Staff's recommended total for both divisions.

Contributions-In-Aid-Of-Construction ("CIAC") – Both Divisions

Based on a review of the Company's rebuttal testimony, Staff now agrees with the Company's calculation of its gross CIAC balances of \$20,140,197 for the water division and \$5,137,673 for the wastewater division.

Regulatory Commission (Rate Case) Expense – Both Divisions

Based on a review of the Company's rebuttal testimony, Staff asks that the Company submit documentation to support its position that the \$17,564 of Regulatory Commission Expense removed by Staff for the water division and, similarly, the \$994 removed from the wastewater division, are not related to rate case expense.

Transportation Expense – Both Divisions

The Company's rebuttal proposes the removal from transportation expense of certain costs identified as unnecessary in the amount of \$6,725 for the water division and \$2,242 for the wastewater division. Staff accepts the Company's proposed adjustments.

Outside Services and Outside Services – Other – Water Division Only

Based on a review of additional information, Staff now agrees with the Company that invoices marked as "ACC Fees" were for accounting fees, not Commission assessments. Therefore, Staff removes its previous adjustments of \$27,820 and \$17,190 from Outside Services and Outside Services – Other, respectively.

Rate Design

The Company disagrees with Staff's rate design. Staff's recommended rate design is unchanged with this filing. Staff remains steadfast on recommending a rate design that provides affordable service of non-discretionary usage levels and encourages efficient use of water. Staff will immediately follow this filing with updated rate design, as necessary, to reflect any changes in revenue requirements that arise from the testimony herein.

INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
- A. My name is Gerald Becker. I am a Public Utilities Analyst V employed by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") in the Utilities Division ("Staff"). My business address is 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.
- Q. Are you the same Gerald Becker who previously submitted Direct Testimony in this case?
- A. Yes, I am.

PURPOSE OF SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

- Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?
- A. The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding is to respond, on behalf of Staff to the Rebuttal Testimonies of Mr. Gregory Sorensen, Mr. Peter Eichler, and Mr. Thomas Bourassa, who represent Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. ("Rio Rico," "RRUI" or "Company")
- Q. Do you attempt to address every issue raised by the Company in its Rebuttal Testimony?
- A. No. I limit my discussion to certain issues as outlined below. My silence on any particular issue raised in the Company's Rebuttal Testimony does not indicate that Staff agrees with the Company's stated Rebuttal position on the issue. I rely on my Direct Testimony unless modified by this Surrebuttal Testimony.

What issues will you address? Q. 1 2 I address the issues listed below that are discussed in the Rebuttal Testimonies of A. 3 Company witnesses Mr. Gregory Sorensen, Mr. Peter Eichler, and Mr. Thomas Bourassa. Additionally, I have attached updated schedules to reflect the adjustments discussed in this 4 5 testimony. 6 7 Rebuttal testimony of Gregory Sorensen 8 Low Income Tariff 9 10 Rebuttal testimony of Peter Eichler Cost Allocation Methodology 11 12 Rebuttal testimony of Thomas Bourassa 13 14 1) Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes ("ADIT") – Both Divisions 2) <u>Contributions-In-Aid-Of-Construction ("CIAC") – Both Divisions</u> 15 3) Regulatory Commission (Rate Case) Expense – Both Divisions 16 4) Transportation Expense – Both Divisions 17 18 5) Outside Services and Outside Services – Other – Water Division Only 19 6) Rate Design 20 21 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS Q. Please provide a summary of Staff surrebuttal recommendations. 22 23 A.

24

25

26

A. For the Water Division, Staff recommends an increase in revenue of \$1,327,271, or a 71.85 percent increase, over test year revenue of \$1,847,256. The total annual revenue of \$3,174,527 produces an operating income of \$718,412 for a 9.20 percent rate of return on

Staff's recommended fair value rate base of \$7,808,822.

2
 3

For the Wastewater Division, Staff recommends a decrease in revenue of \$303,912, or an 18.46 percent decrease, from test year revenue of \$1,829,976. The total annual revenue of \$1,526,064 produces an operating income of \$296,875 for a 9.20 percent rate of return on Staff's recommended fair value rate base of \$3,226,899.

5

6

7

8

9

4

RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MR. GREGORY SORENSEN

Low Income Tariff

Q. Is the Company proposing a low income tariff?

A. Yes. Rio Rico proposes to establish a low income tariff to assist economically disadvantaged customers in paying their utility bills.

11

12

13

14

15

16

10

Q. What did the Company use as a baseline for developing its low income tariff?

A. Mr. Sorensen's rebuttal testimony (at page 10) states that the proposed low income tariff is modeled after the one recently approved for Chaparral City Water Company (Docket No. W-02113A-07-0551) and similar to that proposed in Litchfield Park Service Company (Docket Nos. SW-01428A-09-0103 and W-01427A-09-0104) and Bella Vista Water Company, Inc. (Docket No. W-02465A-09-0411).

Q. What are the key provisions of the Company's proposed low income tariff?

- A. The low income tariff as described in Mr. Bourassa's direct testimony includes the following primary components:
 - 1. A requirement for customers to submit an "Application and Eligibility Declaration" that provides proof of meeting income eligibility requirements and is subject to verification.
 - 2. A requirement for customers to renew eligibility every two years.
 - 3. Applicable only to residential customers that meet all program qualifications.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

17

25

26

- 4. An income eligibility standard of no more than 100 percent of the federal poverty level (updated annually).
- 5. A 15 percent discount on the entire water or wastewater bill.
- 6. Recovery of an Administrative Fee for administrative and carrying costs that is equal to 10 percent applied to an as yet undetermined cost base.
- 7. Maintenance of a balancing account.
- 8. Recovery of a carrying cost at the authorized rate of return applied in an unspecified manner.
- 9. Recovery of program costs from non-participants via a commodity surcharge. The Company's application is unclear regarding whether or not non-residential customers are included in "non-participants." Further it is not clear how the surcharge will be calculated for water and wastewater customers.
- 10. Implementation of the surcharge as soon as possible twelve months after implementation.
- 11. Recalculation of the surcharge either every six months or every twelve months (the application is not clear and makes reference to both time periods).
- 12. Submission of an annual report showing: number of participants for a six-month period during the year; amount of discounts given to participants; administration fees and carrying costs charged; amount of surcharge collections from non-participating customers; and a computation of the surcharge for the next period (again, the application is not clear and references both a six-month and a twelve-month period).
- Q. What is the recent experience with low income tariffs for water and wastewater utilities in Arizona?
- A. Use of low income tariffs is for the most part a recent development. The Commission has authorized low income tariffs for Arizona-American Water Company (W-01303A-07-

6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23 24

22

0209) and Chaparral City Water Company (Docket No. W-02113A-07-0551). In addition to this case. Mr. Bourassa has also proposed low income programs for several other pending cases; Litchfield Park Service Company (Docket Nos. SW-01428A-09-0103 and W-01427A-09-0104), Coronado Utilities, Inc. (Docket No. SW-04305A-09-0291) and Bella Vista Water Company, Inc. (Docket No. W-02465A-09-0411).

Is the Company's proposed low income tariff the same as that adopted for Chaparral Q. City Water Company and proposed by Litchfield Park Service Company?

- No. The low income program proposed for Rio Rico, along with the other proposed A. programs mentioned above, are all slightly different from the one approved by the Commission for Chaparral City Water Company and proposed by Litchfield Park Service Company.
- Given that Arizona has limited experience with low income tariffs for water and Q. wastewater utilities, is it unexpected to see differences in the proposed low income programs as knowledge and experience are gained?
- No. Staff would expect an evolution of the low income programs as Arizona gains A. experience with them. However, the Company's proposed changes do not appear to be based on experience or any other specific information. The Company did not offer or prepare any demographic studies to determine the incomes in the Rio Rico service area. If the Company does not have this basic information, it cannot reasonably estimate the number of eligible customers, the projected costs of the program, or the impact on the non-participants. The limited experience with low income programs suggests that more controls and limitations should be applied.

Q. Does Staff support adoption of a low income tariff for Rio Rico?

A. Yes.

Q. Does Staff have any general and specific concerns with Rio Rico's proposed low income tariff?

l

A. Yes, Staff has comments for the following points.

<u>Income Eligibility</u> – The Company has not explained or supported its proposal to use 100 percent of the federal poverty level as the eligibility cutoff. This proposal represents a significant decrease from the 150 percent level adopted for Chaparral City Water Company. Staff concludes that an eligibility standard equal to 150 percent of the federal

poverty level should be adopted unless the Company can demonstrate that its proposed

100 percent level is more appropriate in consideration of the overall interests of the

Company and all customers.

<u>Recertification</u> – While Staff agrees with the Company proposal for participants to reapply at least once every two years, the Company proposes passive, not proactive, reporting of continuing eligibility. Staff concludes that participants should be required to submit an affidavit yearly attesting to their continuing eligibility.

<u>Participation Cap</u> – The Company has not proposed any limitation on the number of customers that may participate in the program. Allowing unfettered participation could be burdensome to ineligible customers to whom the costs of the low income discounts would be transferred. This concern is exacerbated by the Company's inability to reasonably estimate participation. In order to limit the low income surcharge to less than 10 percent of the monthly bill for non-participants, Staff concludes that participation should be limited to 2,200 customers for the water division and 725 customers for the wastewater division (approximately 30 percent).

Administrative Fee – The Company proposes an administrative fee pertaining to its low income program. Details of this proposed fee are unclear. Mr. Bourassa's direct testimony (at page 19) states, "The program costs (the discounts given to participants plus a 10 percent fee for administration and carrying costs) would be recovered from non-participants via a commodity surcharge." Staff concludes that the low income program should allow the Company to seek recovery only of direct costs (i.e., costs directly associated with the program – those that would not be incurred in the absence of the program), and that the Company should account for these direct costs separately from other costs. Staff further concludes that the authorized rate of return is a reasonable carrying rate. The carrying rate should be applied monthly to the average of the beginning and ending balance of the cumulative unrecovered program costs and included in the beginning balance for the following month.

Surcharge Initiation, Recalculation Frequency and Approval – The Company proposes to initiate a surcharge to recover the program costs (discounts, administrative fee and carrying charges) as soon as practicable after the first twelve months of implementation. However, it is unclear how often the surcharge would be recalculated; the Company's proposal references both a six-month and a twelve-month period. The Company's proposal has a provision for annual reporting to the Commission, but does not specifically require Commission approval of the proposed surcharge before implementation. Staff concludes that its recommended revenue combined with Staff-recommended limits on participation will provide Rio Rico with sufficient cash flow to carry the program costs for twelve months, and that the surcharge should be implemented twelve months after authorization of the program and subsequent to Commission approval of the specific surcharge amount, and recalculated each twelve months thereafter. Staff further concludes that resetting the surcharge in mid-year without Commission oversight would be

inappropriate and providing oversight for resetting the surcharge every six months would not be an efficient use of regulatory resources.

<u>Surcharge Recovery Customer Base</u> – The Company's proposal to recover the low income program costs from non-participants could use clarification. Staff concludes that recovery of low income program costs via a surcharge should be applicable only to the residential customer class.

Surcharge Calculation - The Company has not provided a clear method for periodically calculating the low income surcharge. Staff concludes that the Company should maintain separate balancing accounts for its water and wastewater divisions and separate surcharges should be calculated for the water divisions and the wastewater divisions. Staff further concludes that the following is an appropriate surcharge calculation method. The surcharge shall equal a dollar-and-cents amount resulting from dividing the ending balance of the low income balancing account properly calculated by the number of bills properly issued to non-participating residential customers during the past twelve-month tracking period. The ending balance in the balancing account should equal the beginning balance plus discounts allowed on bills in the twelve month tracking period plus direct program costs incurred in the twelve-month tracking period plus carrying charges less surcharge fees billed in the twelve-month tracking period.

Reporting Requirement - Mr. Bourassa's direct testimony (at page 20) states, "RRUI expects that it will need to submit an annual report showing the number of participants for the six-month period, the discounts given to participants, administration fee and carrying costs, and the collections made from nonparticipants though the surcharge. The Company would also report the balance of the low income balancing accounts and show a computation of the next twelve-month commodity surcharge and submit updated gross annual income guidelines as updated by the federal government." Removing the reference to a six-month period to reflect annual surcharge recalculation, Staff agrees that the

1 2

Company should submit an annual report as one step of the annual process for the Commission to approve and reset the surcharge amount.

3

Q. What is Staff's recommendation with respect to the low income tariff?

5

4

A. Staff recommends approval of the low income tariff consistent with its comments and conclusions discussed above.

6 7

8

9

10

11

RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIOMONY OF MR. EICHLER

Q. Did Staff review the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Peter Eichler?

A. Yes. Mr. Eichler claims that (1) RRUI's allocation method is consistent with NARUC Guidelines for Cost Allocation and Affiliate Transactions and that (2) "APT costs are all indirect costs "1

1213

14

15

Q. Does Staff agree?

A. No. Staff addresses the allocation methodology and its application below.

16

17

18

19

20

21

NARUC Guidelines for Cost Allocation and Affiliate Transactions

- Q. What is required regarding cost allocations by the NARUC Guidelines for Cost Allocation and Affiliate Transactions?
- A. These guidelines require that the costs primarily attributable to a business operation should be, to the extent appropriate, directly assigned to that business operation.

22

23

24

Q. Does the Company claim that it is in full conformity with NARUC guidelines pertaining to cost allocations?

25 A.

Yes.

¹ Rebuttal Testimony of P. Eischler, page 4, lines 20-24.

Q. Did Mr. Eichler provide specific evidence to substantiate its claim?

A. No. Mr. Eichler discusses NARUC guidelines at length and claims that RRUI is following these guidelines, but he does not demonstrate the relationship between his claim and the evidence of record.

Q. Please explain the reasons that Staff disagrees.

A. Staff concludes that, before allocating any corporate office costs, the overall nature and objectives of the parent company fund should first be considered in appraising the need for those costs.

Q. How does the Algonquin Power Income Fund ("Fund" or "APIF") produce income

The Fund, according to its 2008 annual report, produces earnings for its shareholders

for its shareholders?

A.

through a diversified portfolio of renewable energy and utility assets.

Q. What was the APIF's business strategy?

A. The Fund's 2008 annual report states the following concerning its business strategy:

Algonquin's business strategy is to maximize long term unit holder value by strengthening its position as a strong renewable energy and infrastructure company. The Company is focused on growth in cash flow and earnings in the business segments in which it operates. (emphasis added)

The Fund's 2007 Report contained similar language:

Focused on Growth

The year 2007 was a year of growth opportunities, change, and performance achievements for Algonquin Power Income Fund. The Fund's management team and exceptional group of employees and associates spent 2007 working on many new initiatives, including, but not limited to wind development projects, the completion of St. Leon Wind Energy ("St. Leon"), the re-powering of the Sanger,

Q. What was the APIF's income for 2008?

A. The APIF generated \$57 million in income before taxes according to its 2008 audited financial statements. This compares with the adjusted operating income (loss) of (\$97,855) and \$479,144 for the water and wastewater divisions, respectively.

Q. Does Staff agree with the Company's statement that "the cost pool would be significantly lower if Algonquin did not own the utilities division? While the business structure of being a publicly traded company does drive a significant portion of the Central Office costs, these costs are still incurred to the benefit of the utilities it owns."

No, Staff does not. The cost pool exists primarily to further the interests of APIF and its shareholders. The APIF is an unregulated for-profit business that incurs costs primarily for the benefit of its shareholders. Making a profit is the ultimate reason any for-profit company incurs expenses. The Fund is focused on "growth in cash flow and earnings" as evidenced from its business strategy. Since shareholders seek a profit and the APIF incurs expenses (e.g. central office costs) in order to generate that profit, then a reasonable conclusion is that the central office costs are incurred primarily for the benefit of the shareholders rather than for Rio Rico as the Company indicates. The central office costs would have been incurred even if the Fund did not own Rio Rico because the central office costs were incurred to make a profit for the shareholders and not to operate Rio Rico. The benefit to Rio Rico is only incidental.

² Algonquin Power Annual Report for 2007, page 6.

³ Rebuttal Testimony of P. Eichler, page 19, lines 19-23.

- Q. Is there any additional evidence to support Staff's characterization of the Fund as being mostly growth oriented?
- A. Yes, the financial statements indicate that revenues of the Fund grew from \$40 million in 2001 to \$186 million in 2007, for an average growth of 77.5 percent annually.

Q. How does this growth compare with RRUI in the instant case?

A. In contrast to the growth reported by the fund, RRUI claims and Staff agrees that there has been customer contraction which results in negative revenue annualization in its case. In other words, the utility company in the instant case claims negative growth, which is contrary to the results of the fund and the fund's basic objectives.

Q. Are there additional reasons that Staff disagrees.

A. Yes. In his rebuttal testimony, Mr. Eichler provides extensive testimony regarding the NARUC guidelines which require the direct charging of direct costs. Staff disagrees that RRUI is following these guidelines to the extent practicable. In response to Staff data request 4.2, the Company provided invoices greater than \$5,000 to support the pool of allocable costs. In reviewing the invoices, Staff noted that significant amounts either should have been directly charged or should not have been chargeable at all. For example, the Company claimed that it had \$1,021,609 in audit costs subject to allocation. Staff reviewed the invoices and determined that \$739,533, or 72.4 percent, were either out of period or were not supported by invoices, leaving less than 28 percent of costs to be allocable.

In its license and fees accounts, there are numerous payments to the Province of Quebec for tax payments, charitable contributions, and litigation costs for matters outside of the State of Arizona and identifiable to specific activities that under the NARUC guidelines

1 2 should have been directly charged to those activities instead of collected in a cost pool for indirect allocation.

3

APIF Management and Trustee Fees

45

Q. Does Staff agree with the Company's claim that Staff's provision for management fees from the central office is inadequate on a stand-alone basis?

7

8

9

6

A. No, Staff does not. The managers at the central office, not Rio Rico, are directly responsible for the management of the income fund. Therefore, to add costs for the management fees from the central office would be duplicative of the management fees that are already included in Rio Rico's operating expenses. Further, based on the cost

1011

causation principle, the management fees should be allocated to the APIF because those

12

costs are directly attributable to the APIF.

13 14

Q. What does Staff recommend?

15

A. Staff continues to recommend the expense adjustments as reflected in its Direct

16

Testimony.

17

18

RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MR. THOMAS BOURASSA

Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes ("ADIT") – Both Divisions

19

20

Q. What is an ADIT?

21

A. As discussed more fully in Staff's Direct Testimony, ADITs are the accumulated

22

temporary tax differences between income taxes calculated for rate-making purposes and

23

the actual income taxes that a company pays to the United States Treasury and the State of

24

Arizona. In the instant case, the proposed receivable is comprised of three items: the tax

25

benefits associated with the differences between the book and tax treatment of fixed assets

and associated depreciation, the tax benefits associated with net AIAC on its books, and the tax benefits of net operating loss (NOL) carry forwards.

Q. Please summarize ADIT originally proposed by the RRUI, Staff's recommended amounts in its Direct Testimony, and the RRUI's adjusted position as reflected in its rebuttal testimony.

A. The ADIT amounts as filed by RRUI, as recommended by Staff in its Direct Testimony, and as proposed by RRUI in its Rebuttal Testimony are shown below:

	Per RRUI, as filed	Per Staff	RRUI-Rebuttal
Fixed Asset Component	\$ 876,750	\$ (479)	\$ 18,681
AIAC Component	\$ 28,096	\$139,073	\$139,073
NOL Component	<u>\$ 196,960</u>	\$ -0-	\$288,183
Total	\$1,101,805	\$138,594	\$445,238

ADIT-Fixed Asset Component

Q. Please explain the Company's reasons for significantly changing its ADIT position in its rebuttal testimony?

 A.

The Company's filing contained multiple errors. Changes that are now reflected in its rebuttal position include corrections to the amounts reflected in RRUI's tax records, tax basis accumulated depreciation and corrections related to AIAC and CIAC.

Q. Does Staff agree with the Company's rebuttal positions for the ADIT-Fixed Asset Component?

A. No.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Gerald W. Becker
Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257
Page 15

1	Q.	Please	explain

A. Staff reviewed RRUI's revised calculations and notes that the Company includes \$105,049 of unidentified plant in its tax basis calculation. Staff recommends removal of this item from the calculation.

Q. What does Staff recommend?

A. Staff recommends adjusting the ADIT-Fixed Asset Component for the tax value of the unidentified plant. That would result in a credit for the ADIT-Fixed Asset Component of \$21,868, which is the \$18,681 debit, less \$105,049 times 38.6 percent, or \$40,549.

ADIT-AIAC Associated Component

- Q. Does RRUI agree with Staff's positions in its Direct Testimony?
- A. Yes, Staff and RRUI are in agreement regarding the AIAC Associated Component of ADIT.

ADIT-Net Operating Loss Carry forward ("NOL")

- Q. Does the Company continue to propose the inclusion of a NOL component in its ADIT calculation?
- A. Yes.

Q. Has the Company changed the amount it is proposing?

- A. As indicated above, the Company has increased its proposed amount from \$196,060 to \$288,183.
 - Q. Does Staff agree with the inclusion of a NOL component in the ADIT calculation?
- 25 A. No.

A.

Q. Please explain.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q.

18

19

20

21

Q. Please explain.

22

A. Staff requested a copy of the parent company's tax return in Staff data request 3.3. The Company declined to provide the requested information. For this reason, Staff makes its

24

23

recommendation based on the best known information that is available.

Q. Please state any additional reasons that the Company provides to justify the inclusion of a NOL component in its rate base.

should pay a carrying fee on the unfunded balance.

As discussed in Staff's Direct Testimony, the inclusion of a NOL component in the ADIT

balance creates an inequity to ratepayers because existing rates already include a provision

for income taxes and the opportunity for the Company to earn a stated rate of return. The

fact that the Company did not meet its earnings goals does not mean that the ratepayers

A. In his rebuttal testimony, Mr. Bourassa claims that the NOL carry-forward represents the unused portion of the special depreciation allowance that the Company elected to take during the test year. In other words, Mr. Boursassa claims that RRUI was not able to take full advantage of the special election to pay less taxes in 2008, yet RRUI proposes that ratepayers pay a carrying charge on the tax benefits that the Company could not realize immediately.

Was Staff able to reconcile any of the Company's ADIT components to the parent company's tax return?

A. No.

other reasons to review the tax return?

O.

A.

Q.

Yes.

Please explain.

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

A review of the tax return would include a review of the so-called Schedule M-1 items A. which provide a comprehensive list of items that the Company uses to reconcile its book and tax incomes. A review of these could potentially alert Staff to adjustments not presented by the Company or not yet contemplated by Staff.

Besides corroborating the ADIT components claimed by the Company, are there

Please provide a summary of the Company's rebuttal ADIT proposal, as compared Q. with Staff's surrebuttal recommendation.

Following is a summary of the Company's rebuttal position as compared with Staff's A. surrebuttal recommendations:

	RRUI-Rebuttal	Staff Surrebuttal
Fixed Asset Component	\$18,681	(\$21,868)
AIAC Component	\$139,073	\$139,073
NOL Component	\$288,183	0
Total	\$445,238	\$117,205

Staff further notes that the above total amounts represent the total for both divisions and the Staff Surrebuttal amount of \$117,205 will be allocated between the two divisions.

What amount does Staff recommend for Water Division ADIT? Q.

Staff recommends \$82,782 debit for the water division, or approximately 70.63 percent of A. the Staff's recommended total for both divisions.

1

Q. What amount does Staff recommend for Wastewater Division ADIT?

2

A. Staff recommends \$34,423 debit for the wastewater division, or approximately 29.37 percent of Staff's recommended total for both divisions.

4

CIAC – Both Divisions

6

7

5

Q. Based on a review of the Company's rebuttal testimony, does Staff now agree with the Company's calculation of its gross CIAC balances?

8

9

A. Yes. Staff agrees with the Company's calculation of its gross CIAC balances of \$20,140,197 for the water division and \$5,137,673 for the wastewater division.

10

11

Regulatory Commission (Rate Case) Expense – Both Divisions

12

Q. What Regulatory Commission (Rate Case) Expense is the Company proposing?

1314

A.

division, plus \$17,564 of what Staff concludes to be residual rate case expense. Similarly,

The Company proposes \$70,000 per year for the instant rate case expense for its water

15

the Company proposes \$41,667 per year for the wastewater division, plus \$994 of what

16

Staff concludes to be residual rate case expense.

17

Q. What adjustments did Staff make to Regulatory Commission (Rate Case) Expense?

19

18

A. Staff reduced Regulatory Commission Expense by \$17,564 for the water division and

20

\$994 for the wastewater division, as these amounts are not on-going costs.

2122

Q. What is the Company's rebuttal position?

23

A. The Company contends that these were not rate case expenses but rather other operating expenses.

24

1

Q. What does Staff recommend?

2

A. Staff continues to recommend its adjustments unless the Company can provide documentation to support its position regarding the nature of the expenses.

4

Transportation Expense – Both Divisions

6

5

Q. Did the Company propose adjustments in its rebuttal to decrease transportation expense by \$6,725 for the water division and \$2,242 for the wastewater division?

7 8

9

10

11

12

A. Yes. The Company's rebuttal proposes the removal from transportation expense of certain costs that the Company identified as unnecessary. Staff agrees with the adjustments and is recommending a decrease of \$6,725, from \$79,315 to \$72,590, for the water division and a decrease of \$2,242, from 26,817 to \$24,575, for the wastewater division (as shown in Surrebuttal Schedules GWB-11, GWB-19 and GWB-21).

13

14

Outside Services and Outside Services - Other - Water Division Only

15 16 Q. Based on a review of additional information, does Staff agree with the Company that invoices marked as "ACC Fees" were for accounting fees, not ACC assessments?

17

A:

Yes. Staff agrees with the Company and has removed its previous adjustments of \$27,820 and \$17,190 from Outside Services and Outside Services - Other, respectively, for the water division.

19

18

20

21

Rate Design

22

Q. Has the Company responded to Staff's rate design testimony that was previously filed?

23

24

A. Yes. The Company states that Staff's rate design constitutes "blatant revenue shifting."4

⁴ Rebuttal Testimony of Thomas Bourassa, page 34, line 16.

1

Please explain. Q.

2

The Company states that Staff is "discounting water service and generating a subsidy . . . A. for the 5/8 inch metered customers."5

3 4

Does the Company have other concerns? Q.

6

7

5

Yes. The Company complains that Staff's rate design reduces the percent of revenues A. derived from the monthly minimums from 29.6 percent to 28.8 percent.

8

9

How does Staff respond? Q.

10

Staff is sensitive to the Company's concerns and Staff weighed a number of factors, A. including those cited by the Company, in the development of Staff's rate design.

12

13

11

Does Staff have an updated recommendation? Q.

water through appropriate price signals.

14

Α.

Q.

Immediately following this filing, Staff will file updated recommended rates that reflect any changes to the revenue requirements arising from the adjustments discussed in this

15 16

testimony. However, Staff is steadfast in recommending a rate design that seeks to

17

maintain the affordability of non-discretionary usage and to encourage efficient use of

18

19

20

Does Staff have any comment on the Company's proposed form of HUF tariff for the water and wastewater divisions?

21 22

The Company's proposed tariffs state that hook-up fees will not be recorded as CIAC until A. such amounts have been expended for plant. The proposed treatment is not consistent with traditional treatment by the Commission and Staff does not recommend a change

24 25

23

⁵ Rebuttal Testimony of Thomas Bourassa, page 34, line 16-18.

from the traditional treatment.

1

Q. Does Staff have a recommendation?

2

3

A. If the Commission decides to allow a hook-up fee tariff for Rio Rico Utilities, the Company should be required to use the standard hook-up fee tariff form found on the

4

Commission's website.

5

6

Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

7

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WATER DIVISION

Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

SCH#		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST
GWB-	4	SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
GWB-	5	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - TO RECLASSIFY PLANT
GWB-	6	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 & SCHEDULE GWB-6 NOT USED
GWB-	7	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES
GWB-	8	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION
		& CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION
GWB-		Not Used
GWB-	10	U. I. U. U
GWB-	11	SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR
GWB-	12	
GWB-	13	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GWB-	14	Of Elifting marketing and a second
GWB-		OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE
GWB-		OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - INCOME TAXES
GWB-	17	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #6 & SCHEDULE GWB-17 NOT USED
GWB-	18	
GWB-	19	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #8 & SCHEDULE GWB-19 NOT USED
GWB-	20	VI
GWB-	21	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #10 - TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WATER DIVISION Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

			(A) COMPANY	(B) COMPANY FAIR		(C) STAFF ORIGINAL		(D) STAFF FAIR		
LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	(ORIGINAL COST		VALUE		COST		VALUE	
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$	8,455,519	\$	8,455,519	\$	7,808,822	\$	7,808,822	
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(214,606)	\$	(214,606)	\$	(94,038)	\$	(94,038)	
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)		-2.54%		-2.54%		-1.20%		-1.20%	
4	Required Rate of Return		12.40%		12.40%		9.20%		9.20%	
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$	1,048,484	\$	1,048,484	\$	718,412	\$	718,412	
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$	1,263,090	\$	1,263,090	\$	812,450	\$	812,450	
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.6286		1.6286		1.6337		1.6337	
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$	2,057,065	\$	2,057,065	\$	1,327,271	\$	1,327,271	
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$	1,847,256	\$	1,847,256	\$	1,847,256	\$	1,847,256	
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$	3,904,321	\$	3,904,321	\$	3,174,527	\$	3,174,527	
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)		111.36%		111.36%		71.85%		71.85%	
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)		12.40%		12.40%		9.20%		9.20%	

References:
Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LINE NO.		(A)	(B)	(C)		(D)	[E]	(F)
1 2 3 4 5 6	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: Revenue Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	100.0000% 0.0000% 100.0000% 38.7880% 61.2120% 1.633665						
7 8 9 10 11	Calculation of Uncollectible Factor: Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	100 0000% 37.8015% 62.1985% 0.0000%						
13	Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)	100.0000% 6.9680% 93.0320% 33.1429% 30.8335%	37.8015%					
18 19 20 21 22 23	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor Unity Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24) Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)	100.0000% 37.8015% 62.1985% 1.5860%	0.9864%	38.7880%				
24 25 26	Required Operating Income (Schedule GWB-1, Line 5) AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 42) Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)	\$ 718,412 \$ (94,038)	\$ 812,450					
27 28 29	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52) Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52) Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)	\$ 451,619 \$ (42,154)	\$ 493,772					
	Recommended Revenue Requirement (Schedule GWB-1, Line 10) Uncollectible Rate (Line 10) Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25) Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp.	\$ 3,174,527 0,0000% \$ - \$ -	· · · ·					
35 36 37	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue (GTM-15, 20) Property Tax on Test Year Revenue (GTM-15, Col A, L16) Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36)	\$ 109,260 \$ 88,210	\$ 21,050					
38	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)		\$ 1,327,272					(5)
		(A)	(B) Test Year Rio Rico	(C) Rio Rico	Total	(D) Staff Red	[E] commended Rio Rico	[F] Rio Rico
40 41	<u>Calculation of Income Tax:</u> Revenue (Sch GWB-9, Col. (C) L5, GWB-1, Col. (D), L9) Operating Expenses Excluding Income Taxes Synchronized Interest (L47)	\$ 3,677,232 \$ 3,030,832	\$	1,047,384	\$ \$ \$	4,700,591 \$ 3,047,062 \$	3,174,527 \$ 2,004,498 \$	1,042,564
43	Arizona Taxable Income (L30 - L31 - L32) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona Income Tax (L33 x L34)	\$ 646,400 \$ 45,041	6.9680% \$ (9,490) \$	6.9680% 54,531	\$	1,653,531 \$ 6.9680% 115,218 \$	1,170,030 \$ 6.9680% 81,528 \$	6.9680% 33,690
46 47 48	Federal Taxable Income (L33 - L35) Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15% Federal Tax on Second Income Bracket (\$50,001 - \$75,000) @ 25% Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34% Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$100,001 - \$335,000) @ 39%	\$ 601,359 \$ - \$ - \$ 5 \$ 81,236	\$ (126,702) \$ (7,500) \$ (6,250) \$ (8,500) \$ (10,414) \$	7,500 6,250 8,500	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,538,313 \$ 7,500 6,250 8,500 91,650	1,088,503 \$	6 449,810
50 51	Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$335,001 -\$10,000,000) @ 34% Total Federal Income Tax Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L35 + L42)	\$ 133,641 \$ 214,877 \$ 259,918	\$. (32,664) \$	133,641 247,541	\$ \$	409,126 523,026 \$ 638,244 \$	370,091 \$ 451,619 \$	
	COMBINED Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [D], L51 - Col. [A], L51	/ [Col. [D], L45 - Col. [A], L45	5]			32.8884%	33 1429%	

COMBINED Applicable Federal income 1ax Rate [Col. [D], L51 - Col. [A], L51] / [Col. [D], L45 - Col. [A], L45]
 WATER Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [E], L51 - Col. [B], L51] / [Col. [E], L45 - Col. [B], L45]
 WASTEWATER Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate [Col. [F], L51 - Col. [C], L51] / [Col. [F], L45 - Col. [C], L45]

33.1429%

34.0000%

Calculation of Interest Synchronization:

56 Rate Base (Schedule GWB-3, Col. (C), Line 18)

Weighted Average Cost of Debt

Synchronized Interest (L45 X L46)

	N/A	
1		0.0000%
	S	

Schedule GWB-3 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE NO.		(A) COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	(B) STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	(C) STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>
1 2 3	Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$ 34,059,804 12,472,661 \$ 21,587,143	\$ - (48,724) \$ 48,724	\$ 34,059,804 12,423,937 \$ 21,635,867
	<u>LESS:</u>			
4 5	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Less: Accumulated Amortization	\$ 20,188,921 6,628,197	\$ (48,724)	\$ 20,140,197 6,628,197
6	Net CIAC	13,560,724	(48,724)	13,512,000
7	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)	73,648	48,724	122,372
8	Imputed Reg AIAC	-	-	-
9	Imputed Reg CIAC	-	-	-
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:	(778,203) 275,455	695,421	(82,782) 275,455
11	Cash Working Capital		-	-
12	Prepayments		-	-
13	Supplies Inventory		-	-
14	Projected Capital Expenditures		-	-
15	Deferred Debits		-	-
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges	-	-	
17	Original Cost Rate Base	\$ 8,455,519	\$ (646,697)	\$ 7,808,822

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WATER DIVISION Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

LINE	ACCT.		[A] COMPANY	[B] ADJ #1	[C] ADJ #2	[D] ADJ#3	[E] ADJ #4	[F] STAFF
NO.		RIPTION	AS FILED	GWB-5	GWB-6 Not Use		GWB-8	ADJUSTED
		······································						
	PLANT IN SERVICE:							
1	301 Organization Cost		5,785					\$ 5,785
2	302 Franchise Cost	,	417					417 44.194
3	303 Land and Land Righ		44,194					
4	304 Structures and Impro		2,732,833					2,732,833
5	305 Collecting and Impor		-					•
6	306 Lake River and Othe	er Intakes	500 540					563,512
7	307 Wells and Springs		563,512					565,512
8	308 Infiltration Galleries a	and Lunnels	070.454					279,154
9	309 Supply Mains		279,154					197,120
10	310 Power Generation E		197,120					2,591,971
11	311 Electric Pumping Eq		2,591,971	(372.070)				2,331,377
12	320 Water Treatment Eq		372,970	(372,970)				372,970
13	320.1 Water Treatment Eq	•	-	372,970				312,810
14 15	320.2 Chemical Solution Fo 330 Distribution Reservoi		759.861	(759,861)				0
16		iis a stariupipe	7 39,00 1	759,861				759,861
17	330.1 Storage tanks 330.2 Pressure Tanks		-	739,001				7 3 3,00 1
18	331 Transmission and Di	ictribution Maine	22.089.150					22,089,150
19	333 Services	istribution mains	2.209.274	_				2,209,274
20	334 Meters		956.605	_				956,605
21	335 Hydrants		568,578					568,578
22	336 Backflow Prevention	Devices	3,848					3,848
23	339 Other Plant and Misc		121,843					121,843
23	340 Office Furniture and		22,986					22.986
25	340.1 Computers and Soft		76,919					76,919
26	341 Transportation Equip		218,945					218,945
27	342 Stores Equipment	Jilletit	210,545					-
28	343 Tools and Work Equ	inment	15.035					15,035
29	344 Laboratory Equipme		3,061					3,061
30	345 Power Operated Equ		0,001					-
31	346 Communications Eq		218,041					218,041
32	347 Miscellaneous Equip		7,701					7,701
33	348 Other Tangible Plant							-
34		•						•
35	Total Plant in Service		34,059,804		-	-	-	34,059,804
36								
37	Accumulated Depreciation		12,472,661	(48,724)				12,423,937
38	Net Plant in Service (L58 - L 59)		\$ 21,587,143	\$ 48,724	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,635,867
39	, ,							
40	LESS:							
41	Contributions in Aid of Construct	tion (CIAC)	\$ 20,188,921				\$ (48,724)	\$ 20,140,197
42	Less: Accumulated Amortization		6,628,197	-	-			6,628,197
43	Net CIAC (L63 - L64)		13,560,724		-	-	(48,724)	13,512,000
44	Advances in Aid of Construction	(AIAC)	73,648				48,724	122,372
45	Imputed Reg Advances	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· <u>-</u>	•	-		-	•
46	Imputed Reg CIAC			•	-			•
47	Deferred Income Tax Credits (D	ebits)	(778,203)	-	-	695,421	-	(82,782)
48	Customer Meter Deposits	·	275,455					275,455
49	ADD:							•
50	Working Capital Allowance			-		-	-	-
51	Pumping Power			-	-	-	-	-
52	Purchase Wastewater Treatmer	nt Charges		-	-	-	-	•
53	Material and Supplies Inventory	_		-	•	-	-	•
54	Prepayments			-	-	-	-	•
55	Projected C	1847256	i		-	-	-	•
56	Deferred D	1983447.929						-
57	Original Cost Rate Base		\$ 8,455,519	\$ 48,724	\$ -	\$ (695,421)	\$ -	\$ 7,808,822

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WATER DIVISION Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

Schedule GWB-5 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - TO RECLASSIFY PLANT

LINE	ACCT		[A] COMPANY AS	[B] STAFF	[C] STAFF AS
		Description	FILED	ADJUSTMENTS	ADJUSTED
<u>NO.</u>	<u>NQ.</u>	<u>Description</u> <u>Gross Additions</u>	FILED	ADJUSTMENTS	ADJUSTED
1	320	Water Treatment Equipment	372,970	(372,970)	-
2	320.1	Water Treatment Equipment	-	372,970	372,970
3	330	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipe	759,861	(759,861)	-
4	330.1	Storage tanks	-	759,861	759,861

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WATER DIVISION Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

Schedule GWB- 6 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 & SCHEDULE GWB-6 NOT USED

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WATER DIVISION Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

Schedule GWB - 7 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES

	[A]		[B]		[C]		
	CC	OMPANY			S	TAFF	
LINE		AS	5	STAFF		AS	
NO. <u>DESCRIPTION</u>		FILED	<u>ADJU</u>	<u>ISTMENTS</u>	AD.	<u>IUSTED</u>	
1 At December 31, 2008		(778,203)		695,421		(82,782)	
2	\$	(778,203)	\$	695,421	\$	(82,782)	

REFERENCES:
Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

Schedule GWB-8 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION & CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION

			[A]	[B]	[C]
			COMPANY		STAFF
LINE	ACCT		AS	STAFF	AS
NO.	NO.	Description	FILED	<u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
1	AIAC		73,648	48,724	122,372
2	CIAC		20,188,921	(48,724)	20,140,197

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

Schedule GWB-9 SURREBUTTAL

Schedule GWB-9 NOT USED

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

			[A]		[B]		[C] STAFF		[D]		[E]
		c	COMPANY	,	STAFF	TI	EST YEAR		STAFF		
LINE		Т	EST YEAR	TE	ST YEAR		AS	REC	OMMENDED		STAFF
NO.	DESCRIPTION		AS FILED	<u>ADJL</u>	<u>ISTMENTS</u>	<u>A</u>	DJUSTED	<u>C</u>	HANGES	REC	<u>OMMENDED</u>
1	Water Revenues	\$	1,802,584	\$	_	\$	1,802,584	\$	1,327,271	\$	3,129,855
2	Other Revenues		44,672		-		44,672		-		44,672
3	Other		•		-		-		-		-
4	Total Operating Revenues	\$	1,847,256	\$	-	\$	1,847,256	\$	1,327,271	\$	3,174,527
5	Salaries and Wages	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
6	Purchased Water	\$	-		_		-		-	\$	-
7	Purchased Power	\$	441,501		(48,005)		393,496		-	\$	393,496
8	Fuel for Power Production	\$	-		-		-		-	\$	-
9	Chemicals	\$	9,347		-		9,347		-	\$	9,347
10	Materials & Supplies	\$	23,150		-		23,150		-	\$	23,150
11	Outside Services	\$	805,032		(95,067)		709,965		-	\$	709,965
12	Outside Services- Other	\$	76,859		(14,477)		62,382		-	\$	62,382
13	Outside Services- Legal	\$	487		-		487		-	\$	487
14	Water Testing (incl in line 13)	\$	-		-		-		-	\$	-
15	Rents	\$	26,954		-		26,954		-	\$	26,954
16	Transportation Expenses	\$	79,315		(6,725)		72,590		-	\$	72,590
17	Insurance - General Liability	\$	37,699		-		37,699		-	\$	37,699
18	Insurance - Health and Life	\$	-		-		-		-	\$	-
19	Reg. Comm. Exp.	\$	17,564		(17,564)		-		-	\$	-
20	Reg. Comm. Exp Rate Case	\$	70,000		-		70,000		-	\$	70,000
21	Miscellaneous Expense	\$	14,822		-		14,822		-	\$	14,822
22	Bad Debt Expense	\$	371		-		371		-	\$	371
23	Depreciation Expense	\$	463,297		10,678		473,975		-	\$	473,975
24	Taxes Other Than Income	\$	-		=		-		-		
25	Property Taxes	\$	130,373		(42,163)		88,210		21,050	\$	109,260
26 27	Income Tax	\$	(134,909)		92,755		(42,154)		493,772	\$	451,619
28	Total Operating Expenses	\$	2,061,862		(120,568)		1,941,294		514,822	\$	2,456,116
29	Operating Income (Loss)	<u>\$</u>	(214,606)	<u> </u>	120,568	\$	(94,038)	\$	812,449	\$	718,411
23	operating moonic (£033)	_ 	(= : :,000)			<u> </u>	(2.,,000)				

References:
Column (A): Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Schedule GWB 11
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2
Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY AS FILED	(B) Purchased Power ADJ #1 GWB-12	[C] Depreciation Exp. ADJ #2 GWB-13	[D] Rate Case Exp ADJ #3 GWB-14	(E) Property Taxes ADJ #4 GWB-15	(F) Income Taxes ADJ #5 GWB-16	[G] NOT USED ADJ #6 GWB-17	[H] Out of Period ADJ #7 GWB-18	[I] NOT USED ADJ #8 GWB-19	[J] Corporate Exp ADJ #9 GWB-20	[K] Trans. Exp ADJ #10 GWB-21	(L) STAFF ADJUSTED
2 Water Revenues	\$ 1,802,584	s -	s -	s -	\$ -	s -	s -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,802,584
3 Other Revenues	44,672	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	\$ 44,672
4 Other	-	-										
5 Total Operating Revenues	\$ 1,847,256	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,847,256
6 Salaries and Wages	-											
7 Purchased Water	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
8 Purchased Power	441,501	(48,005)	-	-	-	•	-	•	-	•	-	393,496
9 Fuel for Power Production	•	-	•	-	•	-	-	-	•	-	•	
10 Chemicals	9,347	-	-		-	•	-	•	-	-	-	9,347
11 Materials & Supplies	23,150	-	-	-	-	•	-		•		-	23,150
12 Outside Services	805,032	•	-	-	-	-		•		(95,067)		709,965
13 Outside Services- Other	76,859	-	•		•	-		(14,477)	•	•	-	62,382
14 Outside Services- Legal	487	-	-	-	-	•	•	-	-	-	-	487
15 Water Testing (incl in line 13)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	-	-	-
16 Rents	26,954	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	(0.705)	26,954
17 Transportation Expenses	79,315	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	-	-	(6,725)	72,590
18 Insurance - General Liability	37,699	-	•	•	•	-	*	-	•		•	37,699
19 Insurance - Health and Life	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	•
20 Reg. Comm. Exp.	17,564	-	•	(17,564)	-	-	-	-			-	70 000
21 Reg. Comm. Exp Rate Case	70,000		-	-	-	•	-	•	-		•	70,000
22 Miscellaneous Expense	14,822	-		-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	14,822 371
23 Bad Debt Expense	371	-	•	•		-	•	•	-	-	•	
24 Depreciation Expense	463,297	-	10,678		-	•	-	•	•	•	-	473,975
25 Taxes Other Than Income	-	-	•	-	•		-	-	•	-	•	
26 Property Taxes	130,373	•	-	-	(42,163)		-	•	-	•	•	88,210
27 Income Tax	(134,909)	-	•	-	-	92,755	-	•	•	-	-	(42,154)
28												
29							_		_	# (OF 007)	6 (0.705)	e 4 044 004
30 Total Operating Expenses	\$ 2,061,862	\$ (48,005)	\$ 10,678	\$ (17,564)	\$ (42,163)	\$ 92,755	<u> </u>	\$ (14,477)	<u> </u>	\$ (95,067) \$ 95.067	\$ (6,725) \$ 6,725	\$ 1,941,294 \$ (94,038)
31 Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (214,606)	\$ 48,005	\$ (10,678)	\$ 17,564	\$ 42,163	\$ (92,755)	3 -	\$ 14,477	<u> </u>	\$ 95,067	\$ 6,725	\$ (94,038)

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - RECLASSIFY POWER FROM WASTEWATER

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
8	Purchased Power	\$ 441,501	\$ (48,005)	\$ 393,496

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1

Column (B): Testimony GWB

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

LINE NO.	ACCT. <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	[A] PLANT <u>BALANCE</u>	[B] DEPRECIATIO RATE	[C] DN DEPRECIATION <u>EXPENSE</u>
1	DI ANT IN	SERVICE:			
2	301	Organization Cost	5.7	785 0.00	.
3	301	Franchise Cost	•	417 0.00	
4	303	Land and Land Rights	44,1		
5	304	Structures and Improvements	2,732,8		
6	305	Collecting and Improvements Collecting and Impounding Res.	2,702,0	- 2.50	
7	306	Lake River and Other Intakes		- 2.50	
8	307	Wells and Springs	563,5		
9	308	Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	000,0	- 6.67	
10	309	Supply Mains	279,1		
11	310	Power Generation Equipment	197,1		
12	311	Electric Pumping Equipment	2,591,9		
13	320.0	Water Treatment Equipment	2,001,0	0 3.33	
14	320.0	Water Treatment Equipment	372,9		
15	320.1	Chemical Solution Feeders	012,0	- 0.00	
16	330.0	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipe		0 0.00	
17	330.0	Storage tanks	759,8		
18	330.1	Pressure Tanks	700,0	- 5.00	
19	330.2	Transmission and Distribution Mains	22,089,		
20	333	Services	2,209,2		
21	334	Meters	956,6		
22	335	Hydrants	568,5		
23	336	Backflow Prevention Devices		848 6.6	
24	339	Other Plant and Miscellaneous Equipment	121,8		
25	340.0	Office Furniture and Fixtures	22,9		
26	340.0	Computers and Software	76,9		
27	341	Transportation Equipment	218,9		
28	342	Stores Equipment	210,0	- 4.00	
29	343	Tools and Work Equipment	15,0		
30	344	Laboratory Equipment		061 10.0	
31	345	Power Operated Equipment		- 5.0	
32	346	Communications Equipment	218,0		
33	347	Miscellaneous Equipment		701 10.0	
34	348	Other Tangible Plant	• ,	- 0.0	
35	340	Total Plant in Service	34,059,8		1,162,239
36		Total Flatte in Oct vice	0.,000,		.,
37					
38		Less Non Depreciable Plant			
39	301.00	Organization Cost	5.1	785 0.0	0% -
40	303.00	Land and Land Rights		194 0.0	
41	306.00	Lake River and Other Intakes	,	- 0.0	
42	300.00	Earle Miver and Other intakes			
43		Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts	\$ 34,009,	825	\$ 1,162,239
44		Composite Depreciation Rate	Ţ 3.,000,	3.417	
45		Less		2.71.	
46		Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate			-
47		Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate	\$ 20,140,	197	\$ 688,263
48		Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense	4 20,170,		\$ 473,975
49		Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			463,297
50		Staff Adjustment			\$ 10,678
50		eta, tajaonitaris			. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

	References:	
Col A	Schedule GWB-4	
Col B	Rate per Engineering Report	
CoLC	Col A time Col B	

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - RATE CASE EXPENSE

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Rate Case Expense	\$ 17,564	\$ (17,564)	\$

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1

Column (B): Testimony GWB

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]		[B]
LINE			STAFF		STAFF
NO.	DESCRIPTION	AS	ADJUSTED	RECC	MMENDED
1	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2007	\$	1,847,256	\$	1,847,256
2	Weight Factor		2		2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		3,694,512		3,694,512
4	Staff Recommended Revenue		1,847,256		3,174,527
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		5,541,768		6,869,039
6	Number of Years		3		3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		1,847,256		2,289,680
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		3,694,512		4,579,359
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2005		13,454		13,454
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		-		-
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		3,707,966		4,592,813
13	Assessment Ratio		21.0%		21.0%
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		778,673		964,491
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR		11.33%		11.33%
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$	88,210		
17	Company Proposed Property Tax		130,373		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)	_\$_	(42,163)		
19	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)			\$	109,260
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)			\$	88,210
21	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	21,050
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)			\$	21,050
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	1,327,271
24	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)				1.58596%

REFERENCES:

0

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 27

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

Schedule GWB-16 SURREBUTTAL

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - INCOME TAXES

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Income Taxes	\$ (134,909)	\$ 92,755	\$ (42,154)

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GTM
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

Schedule GWB-17 SURREBUTTAL

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WATER DIVISION Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #6 & SCHEDULE GWB-17 NOT USED

Schedule GWB-18 SURREBUTTAL

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #7 - OUT OF PERIOD EXPENSE

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Outside Services- Other	\$ 14,477	\$ (14,477)	_\$

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #8 & SCHEDULE GWB-19 NOT USED

OPERATI	NG INCOME ADJUSTMENT #9 - CORPORAT			ro:	ic:					
LIME		[A]	(B)	[C]	[D]					
LINE NO.		Corpoarte Cos As Filed	Per DR 4.2	Rio Rico						
IVO.		Total	Total	Total	Total					
		Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual					
		2008	\$ 2,008	2008	2008	•				
1	Audit	507,000	1,021,609	17,672	35,608					
2	Tax Services	265,000	322,446	9,237	11,239					
3	Legal	300,000	767,451	10,457	26,750					
4 5	Other Professional Services	455,000 636,619	565,649 642,771	15,859 22,190	22,404 10,101					
6	Management Fee - Total Unit Holder Communications	314,100	289,796	10.948	4,496					
7	Trustee Fees	204,000	129,000	7,110	4,496					
8	Escrow & Transfer Agent Fees	75,000	71,366	2,614	2,487					
9	Rent	430,739	299,586	15.014	10.442					
10	Licenses/Fees & Permits	305,000	140,852	10,631	4,909					
11	Office Expenses	254,000	808,101	8,853	28,167					
12	Depreciation	204,242	211,253	7,119	7,363					
13										
14	Total Admin Costs	3,950,700	5,269,882	137,703	168,464					
15										
16	Variance from Budget		1,319,182		30,761					
17 18	Total Amount Charact in acco		407 700							
18 19	Total Amount Charged in case		137,703							
20	Percentage		3.49%							
21	Torochlage		0.4070	•						
22										
23		[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]	(1)	[J]	[K]	[L]	
24		Total	* *	Costs Allocable	Allowable Common	Allocation	Cost to be			Note
25		Actuals	Disalllowance	to APIF	costs to 70 Companies	(1/70)	Allocated to		Adjustment	
26	Staff Disallowances	2008	Amount				Rio Rico	in Filing		
27	Audit	1,021,609		(919,448)		1.43%	1,313	17,672	(16,358)	
28	Tax Services	322,446	190,849	(118,437)		1.43%	169	9,237	(9,067)	
29	Legal	767,451	10,457	(681,295)		1.43% 1.43%	973	10,457 15,859	(9,483) (15,859)	
30 31	Other Professional Services Management Fee - Total	565,649 642,771	•	(565,649) (642,771)		1.43%	•	22,190	(22,190)	
32	Unit Holder Communications	289,796	-	(289,796)		1.43%	-	10.948	(10,948)	
33	Trustee Fees	129,000	-	(129,000)		1.43%	_	7,110	(7,110)	
34	Escrow & Transfer Agent Fees	71,366	_	(71,366)		1.43%	-	2,614	(2,614)	
35	Rent	299,586	-	(299,586)		1.43%	-	15,014	(15,014)	
36	Licenses/Fees & Permits	140,852	140,852	(0)		1.43%	-	10,631	(10,631)	(4)
37	Office Expenses	808,101	•	(808,101)		1.43%	-	8,853	(8,853)	
38	Depreciation	211,253	-	(190,128)	19,013	1.43%	272	7,119	(6,847)	
39				•						
40	Total Admin Costs	5,269,882	342,158	(4,715,579)	190,931		2,728	137,703	(134,975)	
41 42							Currency Adj.		(7.829)	
42 43							US Dollars		(127,147)	
44	References:						OO DONAIS		(127,147)	
45	Column (A), Company Schedule C-2 Base	d on \$3,95 M					Staff	Can \$	US \$\$	
46	Column (B): Company Response to DR GW		5.27 M		Restated amounts:	As Filed	Recomm.	Adjustment	Adj.	
47	Column (C): Company's Original Estimate of				Water	102,960	2,039	(100,921)	(95,067)	
48	based on Budget, per filing				Wastewater	34,747	688	(34,059)	(32,083)	
		stimenta of allegates	d costs.		Total	137,707	2,728	(134,979)	(127,150)	
49	Column (D): Company's Revised Original Es	sumate of allocated								
49 50	based on 2008 Actuals, per C	ompany Response		2						
50	based on 2008 Actuals, per C Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowa	ompany Respons		2	Per Direct Testimony:					
50 51	based on 2008 Actuals, per C Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowa Column (F), Company Revised amount sub	ompany Responsence nce ject to allocation,		2	Water	102,960	1,363	(101,597)	(95,704)	
50 51 52	based on 2008 Actuals, per C Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowal Column (F), Company Revised amount sub less Staff Recommended dis	ompany Responso nce ject to allocation, allownance		2	Water Wastewater	34,747	460	(34,287)	(32,298)	
50 51 52 53	based on 2008 Actuals, per C Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowan Column (F), Company Revised amount sub, less Staff Recommended dis. Column (G), Per testimony GWB, Staff's es	ompany Responso nce lect to allocation, allownance timate of common		2	Water					
50 51 52 53 54	based on 2008 Actuals, per C Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowan Column (F), Company Revised amount sub- less Staff Recommended dis- Column (G), Per testimony GWB, Staff's es costs benefiting unregulated partie	ompany Responsince lect to allocation, allownance timate of common es	e to DR GWB 4.2	2	Water Wastewater Total	34,747	460	(34,287)	(32,298)	
50 51 52 53 54 55	based on 2008 Actuals, per C Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowal Column (F), Company Revised amount sub- less Staff Recommended dis- Column (G), Per testimony GWB, Staff's es costs benefiting unregulated partic Column (IH): Rio Rico is 1 of 70 companies	ompany Responsince ject to allocation, allownance timate of commones held by the paren	e to DR GWB 4.2	2	Water Wastewater Total Adjustment to be made	34,747	<u>460</u> 1,823	(34,287) (135,884)	(32,298)	
50 51 52 53 54 55 56	Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowar Column (F), Company Revised amount subjects Staff Recommended disallowar Column (G), Per testimony GWB, Staff's escosts benefiting unregulated partic Column (IH): Rio Rico is 1 of 70 companies Column (I): Total amount allocable to Infras	ompany Responsince nect to allocation, allownance timate of common es held by the paren tructure	e to DR GWB 4.2		Water Wastewater Total Adjustment to be made in Surrebuttal :	34,747 137,707	460 1,823 Can \$	(34,287) (135,884) US \$\$	(32,298)	
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	based on 2008 Actuals, per C Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowal Column (F), Company Revised amount sub, less Staff Recommended dis. Column (G), Per testimony GWB, Staff's es costs benefiting unregulated partic Column (II): Tiotal amount allocable to Infras Column (J): Per Company response to Staff	ompany Responsince lect to allocation, allownance timate of common es held by the paren tructure DR 4.2, Based or	e to DR GWB 4.2		Water Wastewater Total Adjustment to be made in Surrebuttal: Water	34,747 137,707 102,960	460 1,823 Can \$	(34,287) (135,884) US \$\$	(32,298)	
50 51 52 53 54 55 56	Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowar Column (F), Company Revised amount subjects Staff Recommended disallowar Column (G), Per testimony GWB, Staff's escosts benefiting unregulated partic Column (IH): Rio Rico is 1 of 70 companies Column (I): Total amount allocable to Infras	ompany Responsince lect to allocation, allownance timate of common es held by the paren tructure DR 4.2, Based or	e to DR GWB 4.2		Water Wastewater Total Adjustment to be made in Surrebuttal :	34,747 137,707	460 1,823 Can \$	(34,287) (135,884) US \$\$	(32,298)	

Note: At 'the last minute', Staff noted a minor computational error whereby the allocations Note: At the last minimal, staff hoted a minor computational error whereby the allocations to Water and Wastewater should have been \$2,039 and \$688, respectively, for a total of \$1,232 used in Staff Direct Testimony, for differences of \$676 and \$228, respectively, and a total difference of \$904 (all in Can dollars). The net adjustment, or increase to expenses is \$852 in US dollars, which is not considered material but will be corrected in the surrebuttal testimony.

⁶¹ Note: 62 (1) 63 (2) 64 (3) 65 (4)

⁽¹⁾ Cost specific to Canadian Tax Service
(2) No indication on ledger that costs benefitted AZ
(3) This account is used mostly for business development
(4) Account used for charity, enertainment, etc

Schedule GWB-21 SURREBUTTAL

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WATER DIVISION Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #10 - TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Transportation Expenses	\$ 79,315	\$ (6,725)	\$ 72,590

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WASTEWATER DIVISION

Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

<u>SCH #</u>		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST
GWB-	4	SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
GWB-	5	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES
GWB-	6	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION
		& CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION
GWB-	7	Not Used
GWB-	8	Not Used
GWB-	9	Not Used
GWB-	10	OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED
GWB-	11	SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR
GWB-	12	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - RECLASSIFY POWER TO WATER
GWB-	13	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GWB-	14	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - NOT USED
GWB-	15	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE
GWB-	16	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - INCOME TAXES
GWB-	17	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #6 - RATE CASE EXPENSE
GWB-	18	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #7 - CORPORATE EXPENSE ALLOCATION
GWB-	19	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #8 - TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	(A) COMPANY DRIGINAL COST	C	(B) COMPANY FAIR <u>VALUE</u>	((C) STAFF DRIGINAL COST	(D) STAFF FAIR <u>VALUE</u>
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$ 3,516,077	\$	3,516,077	\$	3,226,899	\$ 3,226,899
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 490,676	\$	490,676	\$	480,520	\$ 480,520
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)	13.96%		13.96%		14.89%	14.89%
4	Required Rate of Return	12.40%		12.40%		9.20%	9.20%
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$ 435,994	\$	435,994	\$	296,875	\$ 296,875
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$ (54,683)	\$	(54,683)	\$	(183,646)	\$ (183,646)
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.6286		1.6286		1.6549	1.6549
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$ (89,061)	\$	(89,061)	\$	(303,912)	\$ (303,912)
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$ 1,829,976	\$	1,829,976	\$	1,829,976	\$ 1,829,976
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$ 1,740,915	\$	1,740,915	\$	1,526,064	\$ 1,526,064
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)	-4.87%		-4.87%		-16.61%	-16.61%
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)	12.40%		12.40%		9.20%	9.20%

References:
Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LINE NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	[F]
1 2 3 4 5 6	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: Revenue Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	100.0000% 0.0000% 100.0000% 39.5727% 60.4273% 1.654881						
7 8 9 10 11	Calculation of Uncollectible Factor: Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 0.0000%	0.0000%					
12 13 14 15 16	Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) Eifective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)	100.0000% 6.9680% 93.0320% 34.0000% 31.6309%	38.5989%					
18 19 20 21 22 23	Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24) Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21)	100,0000% 38,5989% 61,4011% 1,5860%	0.9738%	39.5727%				
24 25 26	AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 42)	\$ 296,875 \$ 480,520	\$ (183,646)					
27 28 29	- " " - " - " - " - " - " - " - " - " -	\$ 186,626 \$ 302,072	\$ (115,446)					
31	Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25) Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense	\$ 1,526,064 0.0000% \$ - \$ -	\$ -					
35 36 37		\$ 82,248 \$ 87,068	\$ (4,820)					
38	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)		\$ (303,912)					
		(A)	(B) Test Year	(C)		(D) Staff Rec	(E) ommended	[F]
	Calculation of Income Tax:	Total	Rio Rico Water	Rio Rico WW	Total	1700 504	Rio Rico Water	Rio Rico WW
39 40		\$ 3,677,232 \$ 3,030,832	\$ 1,847,256 \$ 1,983,448	\$ 1,829,976 \$ 1,047,384	\$ \$	4,700,591 \$ 3,047,062 \$	3,174,527 2,004,498	\$ 1,526,064 \$ 1,042,564
41 42	Synchronized Interest (L47) Arizona Taxable Income (L30 - L31 - L32)	\$ 646,400	\$ (136,192)		\$	1,653,530 \$	1,170,029	
	Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona Income Tax (L33 x L34)	6.9680% \$ 45,041	6.9680% \$ (9,490)	6.9680% \$ 54,531	\$	6.9680% 115,218 \$		6.9680% \$ 33,690
45	Federal Taxable Income (L33 - L35) Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15%	\$ 601,359 \$	\$ (126,702) \$ (7,500)		\$	1,538,312 \$ 7,500	1,088,502	\$ 449,810
47	Federal Tax on Second Income Bracket (\$50,001 - \$75,000) @ 25%	\$ \$	\$ (6,250) \$ (8,500)	\$ 6,250	\$ \$	6,250 8,500		
49	Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34% Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$100,001 - \$335,000) @ 39%	\$ 81,236	\$ (10,414)	\$ 91,650	\$ \$	91,650 409,126		
51	Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$335,001 -\$10,000,000) @ 34% Total Federal Income Tax	\$ 133,641 \$ 214,877		\$ 247,541	\$	523,026 \$ 638,244 \$	370,091 451,618	
52 53 54 55	, ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 259,918	<u> Ψ </u>	J 902,012		32.8884%	33.1430%	34.0000%

Calculation	of Interest	Synchrol	nization

Calculation of Interest Synchronization:

56 Rate Base (Schedule GWB-3, Col. (C), Line 18)

57 Weighted Average Cost of Debt

58 Synchronized Interest (L45 X L46)

N/A	
s	-
*	0.0000%
\$	-

Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

Schedule GWB-3 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE NO.		((A) COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	(B) STAFF JSTMENTS	(C) STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>			
1 2 3	Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$	11,829,041 5,110,028 6,719,013	\$ 	\$	11,829,041 5,110,028 6,719,013		
	<u>LESS:</u>							
4 5	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Less: Accumulated Amortization	\$	5,376,456 1,944,057	\$ (238,782)	\$	5,137,674 1,944,057		
6	Net CIAC		3,432,399	(238,782)		3,193,617		
7	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)		(861)	238,782		237,921		
8	Imputed Reg AIAC		-	-		-		
9	Imputed Reg CIAC		-	-		-		
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:		(323,602) 95,000	289,179		(34,423) 95,000		
11	Cash Working Capital		-	-		-		
12	Prepayments	•	-	-		-		
13	Supplies Inventory		-	-		-		
14	Projected Capital Expenditures			-		-		
15	Deferred Debits		-	-		-		
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-	-				
17	Original Cost Rate Base	\$	3,516,077	\$ (289,179)		3,226,899		

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

LINE NO.	ACCT. NO. DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY AS FILED	[B] ADJ #1 GWB-5	[C] ADJ #2 GWB-6	[D] ADJ #3	[F] STAFF ADJUSTED
<u>NO.</u>	NO. DESCRIPTION	AOTICEO				
	PLANT IN SERVICE:					
1	351 Organization	5,785				\$ 5,785
2	352 Franchises	417				\$ 417
3	353 Land	7,545				\$ 7,545
4	354 Structures & Improvements	28,548				\$ 28,548 \$ -
5	355 Power Generation	-				\$ 636,023
6	360 Collection Sewer Forced	636,023				\$ 5,945,962
7	361 Collection Sewers Gravity	5,945,962				\$ 5,945,962
8	362 Special Collecting Structures	4 445 520				\$ 1,145,530
9	363 Customer Services	1,145,530				\$ 55,988
10	364 Flow Measuring Devices	55,988				\$ 55,566
11	365 Flow Measuring Installation	-				\$ -
12	366 Reuse Services	-				\$ -
13	367 Reuse Meters And Installation	867,120				\$ 867,120
14	370 Receiving Wells	1,504,181				\$ 1,504,181
15 16	371 Pumping Equipment 374 Reuse Distribution Reservoirs	1,304,101				\$ -
16 17	375 Reuse Trans. and Dist. System	_				\$ -
18	380 Treatment & Disposal Equipment	1,006,848				\$ 1,006,848
19	381 Plant Sewers	1,000,040				\$ -
20	382 Outfall Sewer Lines					\$ -
21	389 Other Sewer Plant & Equipment	68,869				\$ 68,869
22	390 Office Furniture & Equipment	110,454				\$ 110,454
23	390.1 Computers and Software	4,025				\$ 4,025
24	391 Transportation Equipment	,				\$ -
25	392 Stores Equipment	•				\$ -
26	393 Tools, Shop And Garage Equip	4,897				\$ 4,897
27	394 Laboratory Equip	-				\$ -
28	396 Communication Equip	5,936				\$ 5,936
29	398 Other Tangible Plant	3,913				\$ 3,913
30	398 Nogales WW Trmnt Capacity	427,000				\$ 427,000
31	,					\$ -
32	Total Plant in Service	11,829,041	-	-		\$ 11,829,041
33						
34	Accumulated Depreciation	5,110,028				5,110,028
35	Net Plant in Service (L58 - L 59)	\$ 6,719,013	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,719,013
36						
37	LESS:					
38	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)	\$ 5,376,456		\$ (238,782)		\$ 5,137,674
39	Less: Accumulated Amortization	1,944,057	-			1,944,057
40	Net CIAC (L63 - L64)	3,432,399	-	(238,782)	•	3,193,617
41	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)	(861)	•	238,782		237,921
42	Imputed Reg Advances	-	-	-	-	-
43	Imputed Reg CIAC		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		(0.4.400)
44	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits)	(323,602)	289,179	-	-	(34,423)
45	Customer Meter Deposits	95,000				95,000
46	<u>ADD:</u>					-
47	Working Capital Allowance	•	-		-	-
48	Pumping Power		-	•	•	•
49	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-	•	-	-
50	Material and Supplies Inventory	•	-	-	-	-
51	Prepayments	•	•	-	-	<u>-</u>
52	Projected Capital Expenditures	-	•	-	-	
53	Deferred Debits	\$ 3,516,077	\$ (289,179)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,226,899
54	Original Cost Rate Base	\$ 3,516,077	φ (203,173)			Ţ 0,225,000

Schedule GWB- 5 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES

		[A]	[B]	[C]
		COMPANY		STAFF
LINE		AS	STAFF	AS
NO.	DESCRIPTION	FILED	ADJUSTMENTS	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
	At December 31, 2008	(323,602)	289,179	(34,423)
2		\$ (323,602)	\$ 289,179	\$ (612,781)

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

Schedule GWB - 6 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - ADVANCES IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION

		[A]	[B]	[C]
		COMPANY		STAFF
LINE		AS	STAFF	AS
NO.	Description	FILED	<u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
1 AIAC		(861)	238,782	237,921
2 CIAC		5,376,456	(238,782)	5,137,674

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

Schedules GWB - 7, 8, &9 SURREBUTTAL

Schedules GWB-7, -8, & -9 are not used

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

			[A]		[B]	[C]		[D]		[E]
		_			~~.==	STAFF		07455		
			COMPANY		STAFF	TEST YEAR	550	STAFF		STAFF
LIN	—		EST YEAR		ST YEAR	AS		OMMENDED	DEC	
<u>NC</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>		AS FILED	ADJU	<u>JSTMENTS</u>	ADJUSTED	7	CHANGES	REC	OMMENDED
1	Water Revenues	\$	1,829,726	\$	-	\$ 1,829,726	\$	(303,912)	\$	1,525,814
. 2	Other Revenues		250		-	250		-		250
3	Other		-		-	-				-
4	Total Operating Revenues	\$	1,829,976	\$	-	\$ 1,829,976	\$	(303,912)	\$	1,526,064
5	Salaries and Wages	\$	·	\$	-	•	\$	_	\$	-
6	Purchased Water and WW Treatment	\$	-		-	-			\$	-
7	Sludge Removal Expense	\$	-		-	-		-	\$	
8	Purchased Power	\$	17,426		48,005	65,431		•	\$	65, 4 31
9	Fuel for Power Production	\$	-		-	•		-	\$	<u>-</u>
10	+	\$	9,644		-	9,644		-	\$	9,644
- 11	Materials and Supplies	\$	14,304		-	14,304		-	\$	14,304
12	Contractual Services	\$	298,008		(32,083)	265,925		-	\$	265,925
13	Contractual Services- Testing	\$	-		-	=		-	\$	-
14	Contractual Services - Other	\$	175,196		-	175,196		-	\$	175,196
15	Contractual Services - Legal	\$	367		=	367		-	\$	367
16	Equipment Rental	\$	25,781		-	25,781		-	\$	25,781
17	Rents - Building	\$	-		-	-		-	\$	-
18	Transportation Expenses	\$	26,817		(2,242)	24,575		-	\$	24,575
19	Insurance - General Liability	\$	12,021		-	12,021		-	\$	12,021
20	Insurance - Vehicle	\$	-		-	-		•	\$	-
21	Regulatory Commission Expense	\$	994		(994)	-		-		
22	Reg.Comm. Exp Rate Case	\$	41,667		-	41,667		-	\$	41,667
23	Miscellaneous Expense	\$	155		-	155			\$	155
24	Bad Debt Expense	\$	64,087			64,087			\$	64,087
25	Depreciation and Amortization	\$	252,672		8,491	261,163			\$	261,163
26	Taxes Other Than Income	\$	-			-				
27	Property Taxes	\$	91,705		(4,637)	87,068		(4,820)	\$	82,248
28	Income Tax	\$	308,456		(6,384)	302,072		(115,446)	\$	186,626
29)									
30	Total Operating Expenses	\$	1,339,300		10,156	1,349,456		(120,266)	\$	1,229,189
31	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	490,676	\$	(10,156)	\$ 480,520	\$	(183,646)	\$	296,875

References: Column (A): Company Schedule C-1 Column (B): Schedule GWB 11

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2, Lines 29 and 37
Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION		[A] OMPANY AS FILED	Α	[B] ised Power DJ #1 WB-12	. Al	[C] iation Exp. DJ #2 VB-13	Foreign AD	D] Exchange IJ #3 /B-12	Prope Al	[E] ity Taxes DJ #4 VB-15	Α	[F] ne Taxes DJ #5 WB-16	Rate	[G] e Case 0J #6 /B-17	Corporate Al	[H] e Allocation DJ #7 VB-18	Tran	[I] is Exp. OJ #8 VB-19		[J] STAFF DJUSTED
1 Wai	ter Revenues	s	1,829,726	\$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,829,726
	er Revenues	•	250	•	-	•	-				-		-		-		-		•	\$	250
3 Oth			-		-		-				-						-			\$	
	al Operating Revenues	\$	1,829,976	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	•	\$	•	\$	1,829,976
	aries and Wages		-															•			
6 Pur	chased Water and WW Treatment	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	•			Þ	-	Þ	-		•
7 Slu	dge Removal Expense		-		•		-		-		•		-		-		•		-		65,431
8 Pur	chased Power		17,426		48,005		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		
9 Fue	el for Power Production		•		•		-				•		•		-		•		•		9.644
10 Che	emicals		9,644		-		-		•		•		•		-				•		14,304
11 Mat	terials and Supplies		14,304		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		265,925
12 Cor	ntractual Services		298,008		-		-				-		-		-		(32,083)		-		205,925
13 Cor	ntractual Services- Testing		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		•		-		175,196
14 Cor	ntractual Services - Other		175,196		-		-		-		-		•		-		-		-		367
15 Cor	ntractual Services - Legal		367		-		•		•		•		-		-		•		•		25,781
16 Equ	uipment Rental		25,781		-		-		•		•		-		•		•		•		25,781
17 Rer	nts - Building		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		(0.040)		24,575
18 Tra	nsportation Expenses		26,817		•		-		-		•		-		•		-		(2,242)		
19 Inst	urance - General Liability		12,021		•		-		-		-		•		-		-				12,021
20 Inse	urance - Vehicle		-				-				-		-		-		•		•		•
21 Red	gulatory Commission Expense		994		-				•		-		-		(994)	1	•		-		-
22 Reg	g.Comm. Exp Rate Case		41,667		-		-		-				-		-		-		•		41,667
23 Mis	cellaneous Expense		155		-		-		-		-		-		-		•		•		155
24 Bac	d Debt Expense		64,087		-		-		-		-				-		•		•		64,087
25 De:	preciation and Amortization		252,672		-		8,491		-		•		-		•		•		-		261,163
26 Tax	ces Other Than Income				•		-		•		•		-		-		•		•		
27 Pro	perty Taxes		91,705		-		-		-		(4,637)		-		-		-		-		87,068
	ome Tax		308,456		-		-		-		•		(6,384)		-		-		-		302,072
29													-		•						
30			···			-					_										
31 Tot	tal Operating Expenses	\$	1,339,300	\$	48,005	\$	8,491	\$	<u> </u>	\$	(4,637)	\$	(6,384)	\$	(994)		(32,083)	\$	(2,242)	\$	1,349,456
	erating Income (Loss)	\$	490,676	\$	(48,005)	\$	(8,491)	\$	-	\$	4,637	\$	6,384	\$	994	\$	32,083	\$	2,242	<u>\$</u>	480,520

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - RECLASSIFY POWER TO WATER

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Purchased Power	\$ 17,426	\$ 48,005	\$ 65,431

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

LINE NO.	ACCT. <u>NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	[A] PLANT <u>BALANCE</u>	[B] DEPRECIATION <u>RATE</u>	[C] DEPRECIATION <u>EXPENSE</u>
1	PI ANT IN	SERVICE:			
2	351	Organization	5,785	0.00%	<u>-</u>
3	352	Franchises	417	0.00%	-
4	353	Land	7,545	0.00%	-
5	354	Structures & Improvements	28,548	3.33%	951
6	355	Power Generation	~	5.00%	-
7	360	Collection Sewer Forced	636,023	2.00%	12,720
8	361	Collection Sewers Gravity	5,945,962	2.00%	118,919
9	362	Special Collecting Structures	-	2.00%	-
10	363	Customer Services	1,145,530	2.00%	22,911
11	364	Flow Measuring Devices	55,988	10.00%	5,599
12	365	Flow Measuring Installation	-	10.00%	-
13	366	Reuse Services	-	2.00%	-
14	367	Reuse Meters And Installation	-	8.33%	-
15	370	Receiving Wells	867,120	3.33%	28,875
16	371	Pumping Equipment	1,504,181	12.50%	188,023
17	374	Reuse Distribution Reservoirs	-	2.50%	-
18	375	Reuse Trans. and Dist. System	-	2.50%	
19	380	Treatment & Disposal Equipment	1,006,848	5.00%	50,342
20	381	Plant Sewers	=	5.00%	-
21	382	Outfall Sewer Lines	-	3.33%	
22	389	Other Sewer Plant & Equipment	68,869	6.67%	4,594
23	390	Office Furniture & Equipment	110,454	6.67%	7,367
24	390.1	Computers and Software	4,025	20.00%	805
25	391	Transportation Equipment	-	20.00%	-
26	392	Stores Equipment		4.00%	- 045
27	393	Tools, Shop And Garage Equip	4,897	5.00%	245
28	394	Laboratory Equip	-	10.00%	- -
29	396	Communication Equip	5,936	10.00%	594
30	398	Other Tangible Plant	3,913	0.00%	- 20,154
31	398	Nogales WW Trmnt Capacity	427,000	4.72%	462,099
32		Total Plant in Service	11,829,041	3.91%	402,099
33					
34		Lees New Democratical Plant			
35	054	Less Non Depreciable Plant	5,785	0.00%	_
36	351	Organization	417	0.00%	_
37	352	Franchises	7,545	0.00%	-
38 39	353	Land	7,545	0.0070	
40		Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts	\$ 11,815,295		\$ 462,099
41		Composite Depreciation Rate	,	3.91%	•
42		Less			
43		Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate			-
44		Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate	\$ 5,137,674		\$ 200,935
45		Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		\$ 261,163
46		Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			252,672
47		Staff Adjustment			\$ 8,491

	References:
Col A	Schedule GWB-4
Col B	Proposed Rates per Staff Engineering Report for Non Allocated Plant
Col C	Col [A] times Col [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - NOT USED

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]		[B]
LINE			STAFF		STAFF
NO.	DESCRIPTION	AS	ADJUSTED	RECC	MMENDED
1	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2007	\$	1,829,976	\$	1,829,976
2	Weight Factor		2		2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		3,659,952		3,659,952
4	Staff Recommended Revenue		1,829,976		1,526,064
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		5,489,928		5,186,016
6	Number of Years		3		3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		1,829,976		1,728,672
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		3,659,952		3,457,344
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2008				
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		-		-
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		3,659,952		3,457,344
13	Assessment Ratio		21.0%		21.0%
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		768,590		726,042
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR		11.33%		11.33%
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$	87,068		
17	Company Proposed Property Tax	\$	91,705		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)	\$	(4,637)		
19	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)	-		\$	82,248
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)			\$	87,068
21	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	(4,820)
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)			\$	(4,820)
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	(303,912)
24	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)				1.58596%

REFERENCES:

0

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 27

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

Schedule GWB-16 SURREBUTTAL

RIO RICO UTILIES, INC. WASTEWATER DIVISION Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Test Year Ended December 31, 2008

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - INCOME TAXES

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Income Taxes	\$ 308,456	\$ (6,384)	\$ 302,072

References: Column (A), Company Schedule C-2 Column (B): Testimony GTM Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #6 - RATE CASE EXPENSE

LINE			[A] IPANY		[B] STAFF		[C] STAFF
<u>NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	PRO	POSED	ADJU	<u>JSTMENTS</u>	RECC	MMENDED
1	Rate Case Expense	\$	994	\$	(994)	\$	-

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1

Column (B): Testimony GWB

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #7 - CORPORATE EXPENSE ALLOCATION

Cost specific to Canadian Tax Service
 No indication on ledger that costs benefitted AZ
 This account is used mostly for business development
 Account used for charity, enertainment, etc

61 62 63

		[A]	(B)	[C]	[D]					
LINE		Corpoarte Cos As Filed	rs Per DR 4.2	Rio Rico						
<u>NO.</u>		Total	Total	Total	Total					
		Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual					
		2008	\$ 2,008	2008	2008					
		507.000	4 004 000	47.070	25 222					
1	Audit	507,000	1,021,609	17,672	35,608					
2	Tax Services	265,000	322,446	9,237	11,239					
3	Legal	300,000	767,451	10,457	26,750					
4	Other Professional Services	455,000	565,649	15,859	22,404					
5	Management Fee - Total	636,619	642,771	22,190	10,101					
6	Unit Holder Communications	314,100	289,796	10,948	4,496 4,496					
7	Trustee Fees	204,000	129,000 71,366	7,110 2,614	2.487					
8	Escrow & Transfer Agent Fees	75,000 430,739	299,586	15,014	10.442					
9 10	Rent Licenses/Fees & Permits	305,000	140,852	10,631	4,909					
			808,101	8,853	28.167					
11	Office Expenses	254,000 204,242	211,253	7,119	7,363					
12 13	Depreciation	204,242	211,200	7,118	7,303					
14	Total Admin Costs	3,950,700	5,269,882	137,703	168,464					
15	Total Admin Costs	3,330,700	3,203,002	107,700	100,404					
16	Variance from Budget		1,319,182		30,761					
17	variance nom budget		1,010,102		30,701					
18	Total Amount Charged in case		137,703							
19	Total Allount Only god in case		101,100							
20	Percentage		3.49%							
21	1 or contago		•							
22										
23		(E)	[F]	[G]	(H)	ſ	1	IJ	[K]	
24		Total		Costs Allocable	Allowable Common	Allocation		Cost to be		
25		Actuals	Disallowance	to APIF	costs to 70 Companies	(1/70)		Allocated to	Amount	
26	Staff Disallowances	2008	Amount					Rio Rico	in Filing	
27	Audit	1,021,609		(919,448)	91,945		1.43%	1,313	17,672	
28	Tax Services	322,446	190,849	(118,437)			1.43%	169	9,237	
29	Legal	767,451	10,457	(681,295)	68,130		1.43%	973	10,457	
30	Other Professional Services	565,649	-	(565,649)			1.43%	-	15,859	
31	Management Fee - Total	642,771		(642,771)			1.43%	-	22,190	
32	Unit Holder Communications	289,796		(289,796)			1.43%	-	10,948	
33	Trustee Fees	129,000		(129,000)			1.43%	-	7,110	
34	Escrow & Transfer Agent Fees	71,366		(71,366)			1.43%	-	2,614	
35	Rent	299,586		(299,586)			1.43%	•	15,014	
36	Licenses/Fees & Permits	140,852	140,852	(140,852)			1.43%	-	10,631	
37	Office Expenses	808,101		(808,101)			1.43%	272	8,853	
38	Depreciation	211,253		(190,128)	19,013		1.43%	212	7,119	
39	Total Admir Conta	5,269,882	342,158	(4,856,431)	190,931			2,728	137,703	
40 41	Total Admin Costs	5,∠69,66∠	342,135	(4,000,401)	190,931			2,726	137,703	
42								Currency Adi	i	
43								US Dollars		
44	References:							OO DOMANG		
45	Column (A), Company Schedule C-2 Based	1 on \$3.95 M						Staff	Can \$	
46	Column (B): Company Response to DR GWI		\$5.27 M		Restated amounts:	As Filed		Recomm.	Adjustment	
47	Column (C): Company's Original Estimate of				Water	\$	102,960	\$ 2,039)
48	based on Budget, per filing				Wastewater	\$	34,747	\$ 688	\$ (34,059)	
49	Column (D): Company's Revised Original Es	timate of allocate	d costs.		Total	\$	137,707		\$ (134,979))
50	based on 2008 Actuals, per Co			2						
51	Column (E), Staff Recommended Disallowan				Per Direct Testimony:					
52	Column (F), Company Revised amount subje				Water		102,960	1,363	(101,597))
53	less Staff Recommended disa				Wastewater		34,747	460	(34,287))
54	Column (G), Per testimony GWB, Staff's est		1		Total		137,707	1,823	(135,884))
55	costs benefiting unregulated partie								, , ,	
	Column (IH): Rio Rico is 1 of 70 companies		nt (APIF)		Adjustment to be made					
56	Column (I): Total amount allocable to infrast	ructure			in Surrebuttal:			Can \$	US \$\$	
57	Column (J): Per Company response to Staff	DR 4.2, Based of	n Budget Amou	nts	Water		102,960	676		
58	Column (K): Total amount allocable to Infras				Wastewater		34,747	228	215	
59					Total		137,707	904	852	
60	Note:									
					And a second of the second of the second of					

Note: At 'the last minute', Staff noted a minor computational error whereby the allocations to Water and Wastewater should have been \$2,039 and \$688, respectively, for a total of \$2,728, instead of the \$1,363 and \$460, resepectively, for a total of \$1,823 used in Staff Direct Testimony, for differences of \$676 and \$228, respectively, and a total difference of \$904 (all in Can dollars) The net adjustment, or increase to expenses is \$852 in US dollars, which is not considered material as total difference.

[L]

(22,190) (10,948) (7,110) (2,614) (15,014) (10,631)

(8,853) (6,847) (134,975) (7,829) (127,147)

(95,067) (32,083)

(127,150)

(95,704) (32,298) (128,002)

US \$\$ Adj.

\$

Adjustment (16,358) (9,067) (9,483) (15,859) (22,190)

Note

(1) (2) (3)

(4)

Schedule GWB-19 SURREBUTTAL

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #8 - TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE

LINE NO.	CT <u>IC</u>	DESCRIPTION	 [A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>		<u>AD.</u>	[B] STAFF JUSTMENTS	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	-	Transportation Expenses	\$ 26,817		_\$	(2,242)	\$ 24,575

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-2

Column (B): Testimony GWB

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

KRISTIN K. MAYES

Chairman		
GARY PIERCE		
Commissioner		
PAUL NEWMAN		
Commissioner		
SANDRA D. KENNEDY		
Commissioner		
BOB STUMP		
Commissioner		
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)	DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257
RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC., AN ARIZONA)	
CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION)	
OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY)	
PLANTS AND PROPERTY AND FOR)	
INCREASES IN ITS WATER AND)	
WASTEWATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR)	
UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON	_)	

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

JUAN C. MANRIQUE

PUBLIC UTILITIES ANALYST I

UTILITIES DIVISION

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MARCH 2, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	COST OF EQUITY AND OVERALL RATE OF RETURN1
III.	RESPONSE TO THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF THE APPLICANT'S COST OF CAPITAL WITNESS
Mr.	Bourassa's Rebuttal
IV.	STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
	SCHEDULES
Capita	al Structure and Weighted Cost of Capital
Intenti	ionally Left Blank JCM-2
Final	Cost of Equity Estimates for Sample Water UtilitiesJCM -3
Avera	ge Capital Structure of Sample Water UtilitiesJCM -4
Growt	th in Earnings & Dividends of Sample Water UtilitiesJCM -5
Sustai	nable Growth for Sample Water Utilities
Select	ed Financial Data of Sample Water Utilities
Calcu	lation of Expected Infinite Annual Growth in DividendsJCM -8
Multi-	-Stage DCF Estimates

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC. DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257

The Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff witness Juan C. Manrique addresses the following issues:

<u>Capital Structure</u> – Staff recommends that the Commission adopt a capital structure for Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. ("Rio Rico" or "Applicant") for this proceeding consisting of 0.0 percent debt and 100.0 percent equity.

Cost of Equity – Staff recommends that the Commission adopt a 9.2 percent return on equity ("ROE") for the Applicant. Staff's estimated ROE for the Applicant is based on cost of equity estimates for the sample companies ranging from 9.9 percent for the discounted cash flow method ("DCF") to 10.6 percent for the capital asset pricing model ("CAPM"). Staff's ROE recommendation includes a 1.1 percent downward adjustment to reflect a lower financial risk in the Applicant's capital structure compared to that of the sample companies.

Overall Rate of Return – Staff recommends that the Commission adopt an overall rate of return ("ROR") of 9.2 percent.

Response to the Rebuttal Testimony of Applicant's witness Mr. Thomas J. Bourassa - The Commission should reject the Company's proposals to allow for a firm size adjustment, to selectively eliminate inputs in Staff's cost of equity estimation method with unfavorable outputs to create an unbalanced cost of equity estimation method and skewed result, and to rely heavily on analysts' forecasts for DCF estimates.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Juan C. Manrique Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Page 1

1

I. INTRODUCTION

2

Ο. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.

3 4

Corporation Commission ("ACC" or "Commission") in the Utilities Division ("Staff").

My name is Juan C. Manrique. I am a Public Utilities Analyst employed by the Arizona

5

A.

My business address is 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

6

7

8

Are you the same Juan C. Manrique who filed direct testimony in this case? O.

A. Yes, I am.

9

What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony in this rate proceeding? 0.

11

10

A. The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony in this rate proceeding is to report on Staff's

12

updated cost of capital analysis with its recommendations regarding Rio Rico Utilities, Inc.'s ("Rio Rico" or "Applicant") cost of capital and to respond to the cost of capital

13 14

portion of the rebuttal testimony of Rio Rico's witness Mr. Thomas J. Bourassa ("Mr.

15

Bourassa's Rebuttal").

presents Staff's recommendations.

16 17

Please explain how Staff's Surrebuttal Testimony for cost of capital is organized. Q.

18

A. Staff's surrebuttal testimony for cost of capital is presented in four sections. Section I is

19

this introduction. Section II discusses Staff's updated cost of capital analysis. Section III

20

presents Staff's comments on Mr. Bourassa's rebuttal testimony. Lastly, Section IV

21

22

II. COST OF EQUITY AND OVERALL RATE OF RETURN

24

23

Q. Did Staff update its analysis concerning the Applicant's cost of equity ("COE") since

25

it filed its Direct Testimony? Yes. Staff updated its analysis to include the most updated data available.

26

A.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Juan C. Manrique Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Page 2

What is Staff's updated COE? Q. 1 Staff's updated COE is 9.2 percent. In Staff's direct testimony, the COE was also 9.2 2 A. 3 percent. 4 What is Staff recommending for Rio Rico's COE? 5 Q. Staff is recommending a COE of 9.2 percent derived from its updated cost of equity 6 A. estimated range from 9.9 percent to 10.6 percent with a downward financial risk 7 adjustment of 110 basis points (1.1 percent). 8 9 10 Did Staff update its analysis concerning the Applicant's overall rate of return? Q. 11 A. Yes. 12 What is Staff's updated overall rate of return? 13 Q. Staff's updated overall rate of return remains 9.2 percent. 14 A. 15 What is Staff recommending for Rio Rico's overall rate of return? 16 Q. Staff is recommending an overall rate of return of 9.2 percent. Staff's recommendation is 17 A. based on a COE of 9.2 percent and a capital structure of 100.0 percent equity and 0.0 18 19 percent debt, as shown in Surrebuttal Schedule JCM-1.

III. RESPONSE TO THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF THE APPLICANT'S COST OF CAPITAL WITNESS

Mr. Bourassa's Rebuttal

- Q. What is Staff's response to Mr. Bourassa's criticism of Staff's use of the Hamada risk adjustment on book value of equity since Professor Hamada developed his method using market values?¹
- A. Staff acknowledges that the Hamada methodology was developed using market values of equity for estimating a financial risk adjustment. However, Staff believes that the use of book values to estimate a financial risk adjustment is prudent and reasonable in a regulatory environment.
- Q. Mr. Bourassa addresses a list "of the alleged 'attractive attributes' Mr. Manrique has identified," then proceeds to argue the merits of each one listed.² Does Staff have a response to these arguments?
- A. Yes. Mr. Bourassa chose to cherry-pick certain aspects of other regulatory environments to dismiss the examples given in Staff's direct testimony of attractive attributes of Arizona ratemaking regulation. These arguments ignore the central tenet of Staff's argument:

The unique regulatory environments of the sample companies and Rio Rico are *firm-specific risks* for which investors cannot expect compensation. None of Mr. Bourassa's comments demonstrate that Arizona is a less favorable regulatory environment from those of the sample companies. Every regulatory jurisdiction has its own framework with its own specific identifiable advantages and disadvantages; however, it is the overall effect that is relevant.³

¹ Mr. Bourassa's Rebuttal, page 9.

² Mr. Bourassa's Rebuttal, pages 14-20

³ Manrique Direct, page 41, lines 19-24

1

In other words, regulatory risk is a firm-specific risk whether it is in Arizona or another state. Investors cannot expect to be compensated for firm-specific risks as these can be diversified away.

4

5

6

Q. Does Staff have a response to Mr. Bourassa's assertion that "Again, if analysts' estimates already consider past growth, then Staff vastly overstates the impact of past growth rates in its DCF model."⁴?

7

9

10

11

A. Yes. Mr. Bourassa makes this assertion as if the *only* factor investors look at is analysts' growth rates. Investors do rely on analysts' forecasts as one factor in investment decisions; however, other factors such as historical data also factor into investors' investment decisions.

12

13

IV. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

14

Q. What are Staff's recommendations for Rio Rico's cost of capital?

15

A.

Staff makes the following recommendations for Rio Rico's cost of capital:

16

1. Staff recommends a capital structure of 0.0 percent debt and 100.0 percent equity.

1718

Staff recommends a cost of debt of 0.0 percent.
 Staff recommends a cost of equity of 9.2 percent.

19

4. Staff recommends an overall rate of return of 9.2 percent.

20

21

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

22

A.

Yes, it does.

⁴ Mr. Bourassa's Rebuttal, page 24, lines 12-14

Rio Rico Utilities Cost of Capital Calculation Capital Structure And Weighted Average Cost of Capital Staff Recommended and Company Proposed

<u>o</u> $\overline{\Omega}$ <u>B</u> ₹

Description	Weight (%)	Cost	Weighted Cost
Staff Recommended Structure Debt Common Equity Weighted Average Cost of Capital	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% <u>9.2%</u> 9.2%
Company Proposed Structure Debt Common Equity Weighted Average Cost of Capital	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 12.4% 12.4%

[D]: [B] x [C] Supporting Schedules: JCM-3 and JCM-4.

Intentionally left blank

Rio Rico Utilities Cost of Capital Calculation Final Cost of Equity Estimates Sample Water Utilities

(E)	<u>K</u> 9.4% 10.3% 9.9%	<u>k</u> 8.6% <u>12.6%</u> 10.6%	10.3% -1.1% 9.2%
	H H 11	и п и	
[0]	<u>a²</u> 5.7%	(<u>Rp)</u> 6.9% ⁶ 10.0% ⁷	Average idjustment Total
	+ +	× × ×	A risk adji
ĺ)	D,/Pa1 3.7%	<u>\$\beta^{5}\$</u> 0.79 0.79	Average Financial risk adjustment Total
		+ + + .	
[B]		Rf 3.1% 4.7%	
[A]	DCF Method Constant Growth DCF Estimate Multi-Stage DCF Estimate Average of DCF Estimates	CAPM Method Historical Market Risk Premium ³ Current Market Risk Premium ⁴ Average of CAPM Estimates	

¹ MSN Money and Value Line

² Schedule JCM-8

³ Risk-free rate (Rt) for 5, 7, and 10 year Treasury rates from the U.S. Treasury Department at www.ustreas.gov

⁴ Risk-free rate (Rf) for 30 Year Treasury bond rate from the U.S. Treasury Department at www.ustreas.gov

⁵ Value Line

⁶ Historical Market Risk Premium (Rp) calculated from Ibbotson Associates SBBI 2009 Yearbook data

⁷ Testimony

Rio Rico Utilities Cost of Capital Calculation Average Capital Structure of Sample Water Utilities

						-		
[0]	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
[C]	Common <u>Equity</u>	49.8%	52.6% 46.4%	. 46.7%	47.0%	51.7%	49.0%	100.0%
[8]	Debt	50.2%	47.4% 53.6%	53.3%	53.0%	48.3%	51.0%	%0.0
[A]	Company	American States Water	California Water Aqua America	Connecticut Water	Middlesex Water	SJW Corp	Average Sample Water Utilities	Rio Rico - Actual Capital Structure

Source: Sample Water Companies from Value Line

Rio Rico Utilities Cost of Capital Calculation Growth in Earnings and Dividends Sample Water Utilities

[A]	[8]	[C]	[0]	[E]
Company	Dividends Per Share 1998 to 2008 <u>DPS¹</u>	Dividends Per Share Projected	Earnings Per Share 1998 to 2008 <u>EPS¹</u>	Earnings Per Share Projected <u>EPS¹</u>
American States Water California Water Aqua America Connecticut Water Middlesex Water SJW Corp	1.8% 0.9% 7.0% 1.3% 2.1%	4.6% 2.8% 5.0% No Projection No Projection	3.7% 2.7% 6.2% 1.0% 3.0%	10.9% 6.9% 11.4% No Projection No Projection
Average Sample Water Utilities	3.1%	4.1%	3.3%	9.7%

1 Value Line

Rio Rico Utilities Cost of Capital Calculation Sustainable Growth Sample Water Utilities

[A] .	[B]	[0]	[a]	(E)	[F]	
Company	Retention Growth 1999 to 2008	Retention Growth Projected	Stock Financing Growth	Sustainable Growth 1999 to 2008 br + vs	Sustainable Growth Projected <u>br + vs</u>	
American States Water California Water Aqua America Connecticut Water Middlesex Water SJW Corp	3.0% 2.0% 5.2% 1.4% 4.5%	6.4% 6.0% 5.7% No Projection No Projection	1.3% 3.8% 3.7% 0.8% 0.1%	5.8% 8.9% 3.4% 4.1%	7.7% 9.8% 9.4% No Projection No Projection	
Average Sample Water Utilities	3.1%	%0.9	2.0%	5.2%	%0.6	

[B]: Value Line [C]: Value Line [D]: Value Line and MSN Money [E]: [B]+[D] [F]: [C]+[D]

Rio Rico Utilities Cost of Capital Calculation Selected Financial Data of Sample Water Utilities

[6]	Raw Beta <u>Braw</u> 0.67 0.60 0.45 0.67 0.67 0.90
E	Value Line Beta β 0.80 0.75 0.65 0.80 0.95 0.79
Έ	Mkt To Book 1.8 1.7 2.0 1.5 1.5
[0]	Book Value 17.90 20.39 8.36 12.74 11.08
[0]	Spot Price 2/10/2010 31.76 35.59 16.8 22.21 16.52 21.84
[8]	Symbol AWR CWT WTR CTWS MSEX SJW
[A]	Company American States Water California Water Aqua America Connecticut Water Middlesex Water SJW Corp

[C]: Msn Money

[D]: Value Line

(e): (c)/(b)

[F]: Value Line

[G]: (-0.35 + [F]) / 0.67

Rio Rico Utilities Cost of Capital Calculation Calculation of Expected Infinite Annual Growth in Dividends Sample Water Utilities

[8]	5)	3.1% 3.3% 9.7% 5.2% 5. 2%	all thirty
[A]	Description	DPS Growth - Historical ¹ DPS Growth - Projected ¹ EPS Growth - Historical ¹ EPS Growth - Projected ¹ Sustainable Growth - Historical ² Sustainable Growth - Projected ² Average	

1 Schedule JCM-5

2 Schedule JCM-6

Rio Rico Utilities Cost of Capital Calculation Multi-Stage DCF Estimates Sample Water Utilities

(4)	[8]	[0]	[0]	<u>(E)</u>	E)	Έ	8
	Current Mkt.	Projec	cted Dividen	Projected Dividends ² (Stage 1 growth)	growth)	Stage 2 growth ³	Equity Cost
Company	Price (P_o)		9	(D')		(g _a)	Estimate (K)
	2/10/2010	ဗ်	q^2	ဝိ	d ₄		
American States Water	31.8	1.08	1.15	1.21	1.28	6.7%	10.0%
California Water	35.6	1.25	1.32	1.39	1.47	%2'9	10.1%
Aqua America	16.8	09.0	0.64	0.68	0.72	6.7%	10.2%
Connecticut Water	22.2	0.94	0.99	1.05	1.11	%2'9	10.8%
Middlesex Water	16.5	0.75	0.79	0.84	0.89	92.9	11.1%
SJW Corp	21.8	0.70	0.74	0.78	0.82	92.9	9.8%

Average 10.3%

$$P_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{D_i}{(1+K)^i} + \frac{D_n(1+g_n)}{K-g_n} \left[\frac{1}{(1+K)}\right]^n$$

Where : P_0 = current stock price

 D_{i} = dividends expected during stage 1

X = costof equity

n = years of non - constant growth

O, = dividend expected in year n

g, = constant rate of growth expected after year n

^{1 [}B] see Schedule JCM-7

² Derived from Value Line Information

³ Average annual growth in GDP 1929 - 2008 in current dollars.

⁴ Internal Rate of Return of Projected Dividends

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

KRISTIN K. MAYES Chairman

GARY PIERCE	
Commissioner	
PAUL NEWMAN	
Commissioner	
SANDRA D. KENNEDY	
Commissioner	
BOB STUMP	
Commissioner	
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF) DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257
RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC. FOR A)
DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE)
OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY)
AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS WATER AND)
WASTEWATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR)
UTILITY SERVICE THEREON.)
OTILIT I SERVICE THEREON.	

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

JIAN W. LIU

UTILITIES ENGINEER

UTILITIES DIVISION

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MARCH 2, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
NON-ACCOUNT WATER	1
HOOK UP FEE TARIFFS	2
<u>ATTACHMENTS</u>	
Staff's First Set of Data Requests to Rio Rico Utilities	Attachment 1
Rio Rico Utilities' Objections to data requests	Attachment 2
Rio Rico Utilities responses to data requests	Attachment 3
Production capacity and storage capacity calculation for Pio Pico Litilities' Water System	Attachment 4

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC. DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257

1. NON-ACCOUNT WATER

Staff recommends that Rio Rico Utilities be required to report in detail by March 8, 2010 how the 30.523 million gallons water used by the Company in 2008.

Staff recommends that Rio Rico Utilities file, annually after the effective date of the Decision in this matter, reports within 30 days of the end of each calendar year, with the Commission's Docket control, which indicate the quantity of water pumped and sold each month during the year. In the event the non-account water level for the Company exceeds 10% during a reporting period, the Company shall report on the efforts taken to reduce water loss, such as number of leaks repaired. If after three consecutive reports have been filed the Company's non-account water levels remain below the 10 percent threshold, Staff recommends that the reporting requirement be eliminated.

2. PROPOSED HOOK UP FEE TARIFF ("HUF")

Staff continues to recommend the proposed HUF tariffs be denied.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Jian W. Liu Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257 Page 1

INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
- A. My name is Jian W. Liu. My job title is Water/Wastewater Engineer. My place of employment is the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), Utilities Division ("Staff"), 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.
- Q. Are you the same Jian W. Liu who filed direct testimony in this case?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?
- A. The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding is to respond, on behalf of Staff, to the rebuttal testimony of Rio Rico Utilities ("Company") witness, Mr. Gregory S. Sorensen, regarding the unaccounted water, and hook up fee tariffs.

NON-ACCOUNT WATER

- Q. Does the Company admit that it water loss exceeds Staff's recommended threshold?
- A. Yes, the Company admits that the unaccounted water for 2008 was 10.22 percent.
- Q. Did the Company propose any adjustments to account for some of the lost water?
- A. Yes, the Company originally reported 843,205,000 gallons pumped and 735,442,000 gallons sold in 2008, resulting in a water loss of 12.78% for 2008. Rio Rico Utilities, in its response to data requests JWL 1.5 in July 2009, stated that Company used 30.523 million gallons water for flushing pipes, cleaning tanks, etc. Therefore, the water loss is reduced to approximately 10%.

adjustment?

3

5

10

11 12

13

15

16

HOOK UP FEE TARIFFS

What is the purpose of the off-site hook-up fees? 0.

Staff recommends that the reporting requirement be eliminated.

testimony regarding the unaccounted water?

In general, the purpose of the off-site hook-up fees is to equitably apportion the costs of A. constructing additional off-site facilities to provide water production, delivery, storage and pressure among all new service connections. "Off-site Facilities" means wells, storage tanks and related appurtenances necessary for proper operation, including engineering and Offsite facilities may also include booster pumps, pressure tanks, design costs. transmission mains and related appurtenances necessary for proper operation if these facilities are not for the exclusive use of the applicant and will benefit the entire water

Did Staff accept those adjustments? What is Staff's recommendation regarding this

Yes, Staff accepted those adjustments. Since 30.523 million gallons water is a lot of water,

Staff recommends that Rio Rico Utilities be required to report in detail by March 8, 2010

Is Staff changing that recommendation after having read the Company's rebuttal

Yes, Staff recommends that Rio Rico Utilities file, annually after the effective date of the

Decision in this matter, reports within 30 days of the end of each calendar year, with the

Commission's Docket control, which indicate the quantity of water pumped and sold each

month during the year. In the event the non-account water level for the Company exceeds

10% during a reporting period, the Company shall report the efforts taken to reduce water

loss, such as the number of leaks repaired. If after three consecutive reports have been

filed the Company's non-account water levels remains below the 10 percent threshold,

how this 30.523 million gallons water used by the Company in 2008.

Q.

A.

Q.

A.

4

6

7 8

9

14

17

18

26

system. The determination of a reasonable hook-up fee amount is based on the off-site plant that will be needed to meet future growth divided by the ultimate number of connections that can be served by the required plant.

Q. Is the Company a good candidate for hook up fees?

A. Staff does not believe that Rio Rico Utilities water system is a good candidate for hook-up fees. In order to make sure the proposed water plant items benefit the entire water system, Staff must know what water plant items would be funded using the Company's proposed hook-up fees. The water system for Rio Rico Utilities is divided into seven pressure zones at 150 feet intervals. Because of the variances in pressure zones, it is very likely that some future water plant items would be to support the higher pressure zones. Such plant would not be of benefit to the water system as a whole.

Q. Was the Company able to adequately demonstrate a need for hook up fees?

A. No. Attachments 2 and 3 to my surrebuttal testimony clearly demonstrate that the Company has failed to provide adequate documentation to support its proposed HUF tariffs. When asked, the Company could not provide a list of capital expenditures detailing the plant items in support of its request for a hook up fee. While the Company, in response to Staff data request JWL 1.14, indicated that it believes that "development should help pay for itself and the utilization of a HUF would assist in this manner", the Company did not provide any detail of any plant items, engineering analysis or studies to demonstrate the need for capital expenditures to be funded with hook up fees. In response to JWL 1.12, while the Company lodged an objection, it nevertheless responded: "The Company does not know at this time what capital expenditures will be funded with funds collected under the HUF tariff if approved, however, the general type of plant to be funded is described in the proposed form of tariff."

A.

Q. Does Staff continue to recommend denial of the proposed hook up fee tariff?

Yes. Staff concludes that Rio Rico Utilities (water division) currently has adequate production capacity and storage capacity to serve its existing customer base and reasonable growth for the foreseeable future. Rio Rico Utilities provided water service to 6,605 customers as of the test year ending December 31, 2008. The existing water system of Rio Rico Utilities has adequate production capacity and storage capacity to serve approximately double the number of existing customers (7,000 connections based on the water use information provided by the Company, see Attachment 4).

Q. Does Staff continue to recommend denial of the proposed hook up fee tariff for the Rio Rico Utilities wastewater system?

A. Yes. Staff concludes that Rio Rico Utilities has adequate sewer treatment capacity, to serve its existing customer base and reasonable growth for the foreseeable future. More than 90% of wastewater collected from the Rio Rico Utilities enters the City of Nogales sewerage collection system where it co-mingles and eventually reaches the Nogales International Wastewater Treatment Plant facility. The NIWTP is owned and operated by the Unites States International Boundary and Water Commission. NIWTP is adjacent and westerly of the Santa Cruz River/Nogales Wash confluence, about ten miles north of Ambos, Nogales. It treats a daily average dry weather flow of approximately fourteen million gallons, of which seventy per cent is from Mexico.

There is also a small wastewater system which serves the "Villas Unit 12" subdivision. It consists of a single pumping station and an aerobic stabilization pond. This facility served 103 customers in 2009. The present wastewater flow is less than 30% of the available treatment capacity.

Q. Do you have any comments on the Company's proposed form of HUF tariff for the water and wastewater division?

A. Yes. The Company's proposed form of tariff differs from the standard tariff found on the Commission's website. For instance, the Company's proposed tariffs reference in several places that additional funds may be required from an applicant for plant. The hook up fee should be calculated to cover all necessary Off-site Facilities.

Q. Does Staff have a recommendation?

A. If the Commission decides to allow a hook up fee tariff for Rio Rico Utilities, the Company should be required to use the standard hook up fee tariff form.

Q. Did you attempt to address every issue the Company raised in its rebuttal testimony?

A. No. Staff limited its discussion to the specific issue as outlined above. Staff's lack of response to any issue in this proceeding should not be construed as agreement with the Company's position in its rebuttal testimony; rather where there is no response, Staff relies on its original direct testimony.

Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

Attachment 1

Staff's First Set of Data Requests to Rio Rico Utilities

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman
GARY PIERCE
PAUL NEWMAN
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
BOB STUMP



MICHAEL P. KEARNS Interim Executive Director

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

July 10, 2009

Via E-mail and United States Mail

Mr. Greg Sorensen 12725 West Indian School Road Suite D-101 Avondale, Arizona 85392

Mr. Thomas Bourassa 139 West Wood Drive Phoenix, Arizona 85029

Re: Staff's First Set of Data Requests to Rio Rico Utilities, Inc.; Docket No. WS-02676A-09-0257

Dear Mr. Sorensen:

Please treat this as Staff's First Set of Data Requests to Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. in the above matter.

For purposes of this data request set, the words "Rio Rico Utilities, Inc.," "Company," "you," and "your" refer to Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. and any representative, including every person and/or entity acting with, under the control of, or on behalf of Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. For each answer, please identify by name, title, and address each person providing information that forms the basis for the response provided.

These data requests are continuing, and your answers or any documents supplied in response to these data requests should be supplemented with any additional information or documents that come to your attention after you have provided your initial responses.

Please respond within ten calendar days of your receipt of the copy of this letter. However, if you require additional time, please let us know.

Please provide one hard copy as well as searchable PDF, DOC or EXCEL files (via email or electronic media) of the requested data directly to each of the following addressees via overnight delivery services to:

- (1) Jian Liu, Utilities Division, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.
- (2) Amanda Ho, Staff Attorney, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Sincerely,

Amanda Ho

Staff Attorney, Legal Division

(602) 542-3402

AH:klc Enclosure

cc: Gerald Tremblay Jay Shapiro, Esq.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS TO RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC. DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257

JULY 10, 2009

Subject: All information responses should ONLY be provided in searchable PDF, DOC or

EXCEL files	via email or electronic media.
JWL 1.1	Please provide a copy of the Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR") Water Provider Compliance Status Report for the Company's water system.
JWL 1.2	Please provide a copy of Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Compliance Status Report for the Company's water and wastewater systems.
JWL 1.3	How many connections does the Company expect to add each year for the next five years beginning in 2009 for each of Company's systems (both Water and Wastewater)?
JWL 1.4	The Company submitted its Water Use Data Sheet by Month from Jan 08 to Dec 08. For July 08, it reports that the gallons sold was 74,998,000, and the gallons pumped was 65,791,000. There was 9 million more gallons of water sold than gallons pumped for that month. This is impossible (assuming there isn't another water source not identified). Please explain and verify the correctness of this figure.
JWL 1.5	The Company reported 843,205,000 gallons pumped and 735,442,000 gallons sold in 2008, resulting in a water loss of 12.78% for 2008. Please explain.
JWL 1.6	Please submit ADEQ's Monitoring Assistance Program ("MAP") invoice if Company participated this program in 2008.
JWL 1.7	Please use Arizona Corporation Commission's ("ACC") TARIFF SCHEDULE Revised on 1/8/2009 for Company's WATER OFF-SITE HOOK-UP FEE application. This form is located at ACC's Website. (http://www.azcc.gov/Divisions/Utilities/forms/HUF_Tariff_updated_1-8-09%20_Waterpdf).
JWL 1.8	Please use ACC's TARIFF SCHEDULE Revised on 6/10/2009 for the Company's WASTEWATER OFF-SITE HOOK-UP FEE application. This form is located at ACC's Website. (http://www.azcc.gov/Divisions/Utilities/forms/HUF_Tariff_Wastewater_6-10-09.pdf).

Please provide a list of the capital expenditures that would be funded using the

Company's proposed hook-up fees for both Water and Wastewater systems.

JWL 1.9

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS TO RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC.

DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257 JULY 10, 2009

Subject: All information responses should ONLY be provided in <u>searchable</u> PDF, DOC or EXCEL files via email or electronic media.

- JWL 1.10 Please provide a detailed description of the plant items included in the capital expenditures listed above. This description should include justification of need and the scheduled plant construction start and in-service dates. Provide copies of any engineering analysis or studies that were prepared in support of the proposed plant additions for both Water and Wastewater systems.
- JWL 1.11 Describe in detail how the subject capital expenditures were used to develop/calculate the Company's proposed hook-up fee amounts both Water and Wastewater systems.
- JWL 1.12 Please explain in detail how proposed water plant items benefit the entire water system.
- JWL 1.13 Please explain in detail how proposed wastewater plant items benefit the entire wastewater system.
- JWL 1.14 Why does the Company believe that its systems are a good candidate for the hook-up fee tariff? Please explain the benefits and drawbacks for the Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. with the proposed hook-up fee tariff.

Attachment 2

Rio Rico Utilities' Objections to data requests

Jian Liu

From: BIRK, WHITNEY [WBIRK@FCLAW.com]

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 5:39 PM
To: Amanda Ho; Jian Liu; Karyn Christine

Cc: SHAPIRO, JAY

Subject: RRUI (09-0257) - objections to Staff's 1st set DRs

Importance: High

Attachments: RRUI - Response to Staff 1st DR (09-0257)_v1.pdf



RRUI - Response to Staff 1st D...

Attached is the response document for Staff's first set of data requests to Rio Rico. Objections to data requests 1.7-1.13 have been inserted. The Company will provide responses by Thursday, July 23rd.

Whitney Birk | Certified Paralegal | Fennemore Craig, P.C. 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 | Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913 |

Tel: 602.916.5720 | Fax: 602.916.5920

Fennemore Craig, P.C.

Denver | Las Vegas | Nogales | Phoenix | Tucson www.FennemoreCraig.com

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that, to the extent this communication (or any attachment) addresses any tax matter, it was not written to be (and may not be) relied upon to (i) avoid tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein (or in any such attachment). For additional information regarding this disclosure please visit our web site.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this message may be protected by the attorney-client privilege. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please immediately reply to the sender that you have received the message in error. Then delete it. Thank you.

Attachment 3

Rio Rico Utilities responses to data requests

Jian Liu

From:

BIRK, WHITNEY [WBIRK@FCLAW.com]

Sent:

Thursday, July 23, 2009 12:49 AM

To:

aho@azcc.goc; Jian Liu; Karyn Christine

Cc:

SHAPIRO, JAY

Subject:

RRUI (09-0257) - responses to Staff DRs 1.1-1.6

Attachments: JWL 1.01 ADWR gpcd compliance.pdf; JWL 1.02 Water Compliance Status.pdf; JWL 1.02 WW Compliance Insp V-12.pdf; JWL 1.02 WW Compliance Insp V-13.pdf; RRUI Response to

Staff's 1st set DRs.pdf; JWL 1 03 Projected Growth.xls; JWL 1.05 RRUI Sold and

Unaccounted for Water 2008.xls

Rio Rico Utilities hereby submits its responses to data requests JML 1.1 through 1.6. Provided herewith is the response document, along with attachments to 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, and 1.5.

Responses to 1.11 and 1.14 are forthcoming.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks.

Whitney Birk | Certified Paralegal | Fennemore Craig, P.C.

3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 | Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913 |

Tel: 602.916.5720 | Fax: 602.916.5920

FENNEMORE CRAIG

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that, to the extent this communication (or any attachment) addresses any tax matter, it was not written to be (and may not be) relied upon to (i) avoid tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein (or in any such attachment). For additional information regarding this disclosure please visit our web site.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this message may be protected by the attorney-client privilege. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please immediately reply to the sender that you have received the message in error. Then delete it. Thank you.

RIO RICO UTILITIES INC. DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257 RESPONSE TO STAFF'S FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS

July 23, 2009

Response provided by:

Greg Sorensen

Title:

Director of Operations

Company Name:

Algonquin Water Services

Address:

12725 W Indian School Rd Suite D-101

Avondale, AZ 85392

Company Response Number: JWL 1.1

Q. Please provide a copy of the Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR") Water Provider Compliance Status Report for the Company's water system.

RESPONSE: Please see the attached document.

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

3550 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone 602 771-8500 Fax 602 771-8681



October 24, 2008

RECEIVED

Governor
Herbert R. Guenther

Janet Napolitano

Rio Rico Utilities Inc. Attn: Dara Mora 1060 Yavapai Ste. 9 Rio Rico, Az 85648 NOV 0 5 REC'D

RIO RICO UTILITIES

Notification 2000 through 2006 Gallons per Capita per Day (GPCD)

Dear Ms. Mora:

The Santa Cruz Ama has completed its review of your 2000 through 2006 Annual Water Withdrawal and Use Reports. Based on the reported water use and the service area population estimate for your system for those years, the Department has calculated both the Annual Total GPCD Requirement and the Annual Total GPCD rate and has adjusted your flexibility account balance accordingly. The Department has also calculated the total Lost and Unaccounted for water percentage for your system in those years. The purpose of this letter is to notify you of the status of your system's compliance with the Third Management Plan Conservation Requirements for Large Municipal Providers (see Table 1).

The actual calculations used to determine the Annual Total GPCD Requirement, Annual Total GPCD rate and total Lost and Unaccounted for water and flexibility account balance for your system for each year are included in the enclosed attachments.

The flexibility account balance began in calendar year 1992 at the beginning of the Second Management Plan. The flexibility account balance is carried forward and adjusted based on the water use each calendar year. However, the annual balance is subject to the following limitations: the maximum allowable credit forwarded may not exceed 30 GPCD; the maximum allowable debit forwarded may not exceed -10 GPCD. If your flexibility account is in a debit situation and exceeds the maximum allowable debit -10 GPCD, you are in violation of the total GPCD requirement.

Lost and unaccounted for water is water that is lost from the system during transportation or distribution due to seepage, evaporation, leaks, breaks, phreatophyte use or other causes. It is calculated by subtracting metered or estimated water deliveries from total water withdrawn, diverted or received during the year. Large providers are required by the management plan to limit their annual lost and unaccounted for water to ten percent of the total amount of water withdrawn, diverted or received during the year.

Based on the information provided to the Department in the Annual Water Withdrawal and Use Reports for your system, the Department has determined that Rio Rico Utilities Inc. is currently in compliance with the Annual Total GPCD Requirement and the allowable Lost and Unaccounted for Water percentage from the years 2000 through 2006.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Nick Kilb at 520-761-1814.

Sincerely,

Alejandro Barcenas

alijourdo Bineus

Area Director

Santa Cruz AMA

RIO RICO UTILITIES INC. DOCKET NO. WS-02676A-09-0257 RESPONSE TO STAFF'S FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS

July 23, 2009

Response provided by:

Greg Sorensen

Title:

Director of Operations

Company Name:

Algonquin Water Services

Address:

12725 W Indian School Rd Suite D-101

Avondale, AZ 85392

Company Response Number: JWL 1.2

Q. Please provide a copy of Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Compliance Status Report for the Company's water and wastewater systems.

RESPONSE: Please see the attached documents.

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
Drinking Water Monitoring and Protection Unit
Mail Code 5415B-2
1110 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Drinking Water Compliance Status Report

200			
system consecu	8	D PWS#	Q
ē			х
11 System Type:	Community	Non-fransient Non-community	Transient Non-community
S	×	L	L
System Name:	RIO RICO UTILITIES, INC	O East	120(1

gand Reporting status: X No major deficiencies Major deficiencies

Operation and Maintenance status.	r deficiencies Major deficiencies
Date of Last Saritary Survey: 8/30/07 Inspector.	Inspector; Steve Deversaux, SRO
Major unresolved/ongoing operation and maintenance deficiencies:	ciencies:
[] unable to mainfain 20psi	[] inadequate storage
[] cross connection/backflow problems	[] surface water treatment rule
[] instruent deficiencies	[] approval to construct/of construction
[] certified operator	[] other
Comments: None	

ГТ	7	
ž		
×	-	
68		
H		
100		
n effe		
deri		
ve or		
igi m	ဍ	
Ž.	2	
ADE		
8	<u> </u>	
للتما		

\$
Opulation Served (10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
mbe-bis Surface (1) 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
66番号の(1011年) をあたららいできない (1111年) 111年 111日 111日 111日 111日 111日 111
Sintheting Kessistance, Program (MAR), System 14: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11: 11:
700
/ Espitablishishisheted by - Donna Calderon. Manages //

		J.
	å:	الله المارية ا المارية المارية
- 5	2	3 2009 (12) (12) (12) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13
-5	×	Based upon data submitted by the water system, ADEQ has determined that this system is
		currently delivering water that meets water quality standards required by Arizona Administrative
	ŝ.	Code, Title 18, Chapter 4, and PWS is In compilance.
		Based upon the monitoring and reporting deficiencies noted above, ADEQ cannot determine if
	•	this system is currently delivering water that meets water quality standards required by Arizona
		Administrative Code, Tille 18, Chapter 4, and/or PWS is not in compliance.
-	L	Based upon the operation and maintenance deficiencies noted above, ADEQ cannot determine if
-		this system is currently delivering water that meets water quality standards required by Arizona
		Administrative Code, Title 18, Chapter 4, and/or PWS is not in compliance.

This compliance status report does not guarantee the water quality for this system in the future, and does not reflect the status of any other water system owned by this utility company.

Revised April 2008



ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF **ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

1110 West Washington Street - Phoenix, Arizona 85007

(602) 771-2300 www.azdeg.gov

Patrick J. Cunningham Acting Director

Governor

June 5, 2009

RECEIVED

JUN 1 1 2009

Insp. #: 141058

Type 1.09 General APP Permit#:

Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. Attention: Dara Mora 1060 Yavapai Dr., Suite 9 Rio Rico, Arizona 85648-4165

THE SUMMES

APP Wastewater Compliance Inspection of the Josephine Canyon Wastewater Treatment

Facility (aka Villas No. 12 WWTF); Place ID #112963

Dear Ms. Mora:

Enclosed is a copy of an Inspection Report prepared by Raymond D. Morgan of our staff concerning the referenced facility. An inspection was performed on June 3, 2009, in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) §49-241 et seq. and with the Arizona Administrative Code (A.A.C.) R18-9-101 et seq.

No deficiencies were found in the operation, maintenance or certified operator status of this system at the time of inspection. No further action will result from this inspection. However, if information regarding violations is discovered, or if violations occur, ADEQ may reconsider its position and take additional action as appropriate and as allowed by law.

Please call Raymond D. Morgan at (520) 628-6733, if you have any further questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

Martin D. McCarthy, P.E.

Regional Compliance Manager

Southern Regional Office

xc: Cynthia Campbell, Manager, WQCS, WQD, ADEQ

Michele Robertson, Manager, GPS, WQD, ADEQ

Santa Cruz County Health Department THE THE PORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PERSON OF T No deficient is very from the periodian the proper man and a remain to personal and the sports with

Northern Regional Office 1801 W Route 66 - Suite 117 - Flagstaff, AZ 86001 (928) 779-0313

Southern Regional Office 400 West Congress Street . Suite 433 . Tucson, AZ 85701 (520) 628-6733

INSPECTION REPORT - WASTEWATER

	흥성 소문화 경우리는 모임하다고 말으면 보다 하게 되었다.	APP#: Type 1.09 GP AZPDES# N/A			
INSPECTION #: 14105	8			N/A	
FACILITY:	Josephine Canyon WWTF				
INSPECTED BY:	Raymond D. Morgan	INSP. DATE: 6/3/09			
ACCOMPANIED BY:			OUNTY: Santa Cruz		
OPERATOR:	Martin Garlant	Gr.	ADE _	La e _{lle} te	
1. This system meets	the requirements of the following permits.		YES	NO	N/A
A. Ground Water	Protection Permit				√
B. Aquifer Prote	etion Permit(Type 1.09 General Permit)		1		
					1
D. General Perm	11				1
	mit.				1
F. Unified Perm					1
2. The effluent quali	ty of this system meets ADEQ and USEPA Standards	•••••	. 1		
3. The method of ef	fluent disposal is in accordance with ADEQ and USEPA		1		
	ne system holds the required certification as required by ADEQ rules.		4		
5. This facility met	he ADEQ standards for physical facilities, at the time of the inspecti	on	1		

System Description

The system consists of three treatment lagoons with a combined design capacity of 45,000 gpd, a lift station and a collection system serving 178 connections at the present time. The actual flow to the lagoons is very low.

Inspector Comments

In general, the operating conditions of the wastewater treatment system looked good. My comments are listed as follows:

- 1. There was minimal odor and the top of the berms and the inside of the berms were generally clear of vegetation except right next to the water surface.
- 2. One lagoon has a small amount of wastewater in it and the other two are completely dry.
- The lift station supplying wastewater to the WWTF had minimal odor but all exposed metal was severely corroded.
- The water spigot at this facility has a reduced pressure backflow prevention assembly installed upstream of it.
- A degreasing compound is being added to the lift station.
- The present wastewater flow ranges from 1,100 to 1,200 gpd.

Summary

The results of this inspection indicate that at this time your wastewater treatment facility does not appear to have any operation, maintenance, monitoring or reporting deficiencies according to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality rules and regulations.



ARIZONA DEPARTMENT

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

1110 West Washington Street - Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 771-2300 www.azdeq.gov



Patrick I. Cunningham **Acting Director**

RECEIVED

141066 Insp. #:

June 5, 2009

JUN 1 1 2009

APP Permit #:

Type 1.09 General

Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. Attention: Dara Mora 1060 Yavapai Dr., Suite 9 Rio Rico, Arizona 85648-4165

RIU RICO UTILITIES

APP Wastewater Compliance Inspection of the Peck Canyon Wastewater Treatment

Facility; Place ID #1597

Dear Ms. Mora:

Enclosed is a copy of an Inspection Report prepared by Raymond D. Morgan of our staff concerning the referenced facility. An inspection was performed on June 3, 2009, in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) §49-241 et seq. and with the Arizona Administrative Code (A.A.C.) R18-9-101 et seq.

No deficiencies were found in the operation, maintenance or certified operator status of this system at the time of inspection. No further action will result from this inspection. However, if information regarding violations is discovered, or if violations occur, ADEQ may reconsider its position and take additional action as appropriate and as allowed by law.

Please call Raymond D. Morgan at (520) 628-6733, if you have any further questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

Martin D. McCarthy, P.E.

Regional Compliance Manager

Southern Regional Office

xc: Cynthia Campbell, Manager, WQCS, WQD, ADEQ

Michele Robertson, Manager, GPS, WQD, ADEQ

Santa Cruz County Health Department to to terrify name up this maintained was a confidence to the extreme contract the

gagende -

Northern Regional Office

1801 W Route 66 . Suite 117 . Flagstaff, AZ 86001 (928) 779-0313

Southern Regional Office 400 West Congress Street . Suite 433 . Tucson, AZ 85701 (520) 628-6733

INSPECTION REPORT - WASTEWATER

	회사하는 이번 다른 사람들은 병원들은 사람들은 바로 하는 사람들이 모르는 다른 사람이 없다.	APP#: I	ype.	1.09 G	r
INSPECTION #: 14106	66 <u> </u>	AZPDES#		N/A	
FACILITY:	Peck Canyon WWTF	Insp. Dati	e: 6	/3/09	
INSPECTED BY:	Raymond D. Morgan	COUNT	y: S	Santa C	cruz
ACCOMPANIED BY:	Dara Mora & Ruben Alcantar	T.F. GRAD	DE		
OPERATOR:	Martin Garlant	C.S. GRAD	DE		
1					
1. This system meets	the requirements of the following permits.		YES	NO	N/A
A. Ground Water	Protection Permit				1
B. Aquifer Protect	ction Permit(Type 1.09 General Permit)		<u> </u>		
C. Reuse Permit.					1
D. General Perm					√
E. AZPDES Pen	mit.				1
F. Unified Perm	iting and the first firs		1,11 1,11		1
2. The effluent quali	ty of this system meets ADEQ and USEPA Standards		1		
3. The method of eff	fluent disposal is in accordance with ADEQ and USEPA		1		
4. The operator of th	ne system holds the required certification as required by ADEQ ru	ıles	1		
5 This facility met t	he ADEO standards for physical facilities, at the time of the inspe	ection	1		

System Description

The system consists of three treatment lagoons used for emergency storage only, four pump stations and a collection system. The lagoons are located next to pump station #5. If pump station #5 fails, the flow can easily be diverted into the lagoons with the use of a portable pump. Pump station #5 discharges to pump station #3. Flows to pump station #3 can be diverted back to pump station #5 and then into the lagoons, if necessary. Pump station #3 normally discharges to pump station #2 which in turn discharges to pump station #1. The flow from all the upstream pump stations and associated collection system is then pumped to the Nogales International Wastewater (WWTF) from pump station #1, the last pump station. The wastewater flow ranges from 400,000 to 450,000 gpd. There are approximately 2,000 connections to the wastewater collection system at the present time.

Inspector Comments

The operating conditions of the wastewater treatment system and the downstream pump stations looked good. My comments are listed as follows:

- 1. The top and inside of the berms were clear of deep rooted vegetation.
- 2. Enzymes were being discharged to the wet well at pump station #3 to prevent hydrogen sulfide generation and associated odors.
- 3. A degreasing compound is being added to the pump stations.
- 4. A backup pump is in inventory for use if needed.

Inspection Report – APP Wastewater June 5, 2009
Page 2 of 2

Summary

The results of this inspection indicate that your wastewater treatment facility has no apparent operation, maintenance or certified operator deficiencies at this time according to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality rules and regulations.

July 23, 2009

Response provided by:

Greg Sorensen

Title:

Director of Operations

Company Name:

Algonquin Water Services

Address:

12725 W Indian School Rd Suite D-101

Avondale, AZ 85392

Company Response Number: JWL 1.3

Q. How many connections does the Company expect to add each year for the next five years beginning in 2009 for each of Company's systems (both Water and Wastewater)?

RESPONSE: With the current state of the economy, it is very difficult for anyone to confidently predict future growth rates. For planning purposes, the Company looks at low, middle, and high growth rate estimates. This uses a conservative 1% rate, a moderate 3% rate, and a 5% rate which is closer to, but still less than, the prior 5 years' growth rates of approximately 8% water and 6.5% sewer, and which now seems aggressive given the current real estate market. Please see the attached excel file.

Growth* *Assumes 1% growth	2009	2010	2011
Water Connections	6,672	6,738	908'9
Vastewater Connections South Sewer Basin	2,075	2,096	2,117
Wastewater Connections North Sewer Basin	103	104	105
Total Wastewater Connections	2,178	2,200	2,222

3x0xth*	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
'Assumes 1% growth Water Connections	6,672	6,738	908'9	6,874	6,943
Wastewater Connections South Sewer Basin	2,075	2,096	2,117	2,138	2,159
Wastewater Connections North Sewer Basin	103	104	105	106	107
Fotal Wastewater Connections	2,178	2,200	2,222	2,244	2,266
*Assumes 3% growth	<u>2009</u>	2010	2011	2012	2013
	(13)	6.877	7.078	7 290	7 509
Water Connections	2/0,0	2/0,0	0,0,0	0,4,0	700,0
Wastewater Connections South Sewer Basin	2,075	2,137	2,201	2,267	2,335
Wastewater Connections North Sewer Basin	103	106	109	113	116
Fotal Wastewater Connections	2,178	2,243	2,311	2,380	2,451
*Assumes 5% growth	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Water Connections	6,672	7,005	7,355	7,723	8,109
Wastewater Connections South Sewer Basin	2,075	2,179	2,288	2,402	2,522
Wastewater Connections North Sewer Basin	103	108	114	119	125
Total Wastewater Connections	2,178	2,287	2,401	2,521	2,647

July 23, 2009

Response provided by:

Greg Sorensen

Title:

Director of Operations

Company Name:

Algonquin Water Services

Address:

12725 W Indian School Rd Suite D-101

Avondale, AZ 85392

Company Response Number: JWL 1.4

Q. The Company submitted its Water Use Data Sheet by Month from Jan 08 to Dec 08. For July 08, it reports that the gallons sold was 74,998,000, and the gallons pumped was 65,791,000. There was 9 million more gallons of water sold than gallons pumped for that month. This is impossible (assuming there isn't another water source not identified). Please explain and verify the correctness of this figure.

RESPONSE: The Company bills in two cycles, which happen not to coincide with month end. The "gallons sold" figure is based on these bills, which are generated from customer meter reads performed on various days throughout the month. On the other hand, the "gallons pumped" figure is derived from meter reads on wells taken at the end of each month. Therefore, in any given month, there might be a difference between gallons sold and gallons pumped, which has nothing to do with error, but rather, timing. It is more accurate to consider these figures over a 12 or 24 month period. The figures are correct (except for the inadvertent exclusion of "company use" water, which is explained in the response to data request JWL 1.5).

July 23, 2009

Response provided by:

Greg Sorensen

Title:

Director of Operations

Company Name:

Algonquin Water Services

Address:

12725 W Indian School Rd Suite D-101

Avondale, AZ 85392

Company Response Number: JWL 1.5

Q. The Company reported 843,205,000 gallons pumped and 735,442,000 gallons sold in 2008, resulting in a water loss of 12.78% for 2008. Please explain.

RESPONSE: Please see the attached spreadsheet. The figures originally submitted did not take into consideration the water used by the Company, which amount should be excluded from the water loss calculation. This water is used for flushing pipes, cleaning tanks, etc. This reduces the water loss to approximately 10%.

Rio Rico Utilities, Sold and Unaacounted for Water, 2008

Month	Total Pumped	umped	Total Sold		Comm. Sold		Residential Sold	plo	Company Ise	ny Use	Accounted For	ed For	Unaccounted For	ited For	Unacct.
	MG's	Ac. Ft	MG's	Ac. Ft.	MG's	Ac. Ft	MG's	Ac. Ft.	L SWC	Ac. Ft.	MG's	Ac. Ft.	-MG's	Ac. Ft.	%
Jan	59.615	182.95	51.640	158.48	9.392	28.82	42.248	129.65	1.034	3.17	52.674	161.65	6.941	21.30	11.64
Feb	55.860	171.43	49.138	150.80	8.935	27.42	40.203	123.38	1.025	3.15	50.163	153.94	2:697	17.48	10.20
Mar	67.686	207.72	47.924	147.07	9.347	28.68	38.577	118.39	0.942	2.89	48.866	149.96	18.820	57.76	27.80
Apr	78.475	240.83	65.739	201.75	14.157	43.45	51.582	158.30	4.307	13.22	70.046	214.96	8.429	25.87	10.74
May	86.180	264.48	66.500	204.08	20.391	62.58	46.109	141.50	1.362	4.18	67.862	208.26	18.318	56.22	21.26
June	93.385	286.59	87.027	267.08	22.190	68.10	64.837	198.98	1.066	3.27	88.093	270.35	5.292	16.24	5.67
July	65.792	201.91	74.998	230.16	18.141	55.67	56.857	174.49	1.125	3.45	76.123	233.61	-10.331	-31.70	-15.70
Aug	72.031	221.06	59.955	184.00	20.468	62.81	39.487	121.18	2.393	7.34	62.348	191.34	9.683	29.72	13.44
Sept	73.199	224.64	57.964	177.88	12.631	38.76	45.333	139.12	2.353	7.22	60.317	185.11	12.882	39.53	17.60
Oct	73.549	225.71	58.038	178.11	13.391	41.10	44.647	137.02	5.291	16.24	63.329	194.35	10.220	31.36	13.90
Nov	68.563	210.41	64.345	197.47	15.630	47.97	48.715	149.50	5.844	17.93	70.189	215.40	-1.626	-4.99	-2.37
Dec	60.872	186.81	54.001	165.72	11.255	34.54	42.746	131.18	3.781	11.60	57.782	177.33	3.090 ~	9.48	5.08
Totals	855.207	2624.53	737.269	2262.60	175.928	539.90	561.341	1722.69	\$0.523	93.67	767.792	2356.27 d	1815-18	268.27	10.22
									\						

de

July 23, 2009

Response provided by:

Greg Sorensen

Title:

Director of Operations

Company Name:

Algonquin Water Services

Address:

12725 W Indian School Rd Suite D-101

Avondale, AZ 85392

Company Response Number: JWL 1.6

Q. Please submit ADEQ's Monitoring Assistance Program ("MAP") invoice if Company participated this program in 2008.

RESPONSE: The Company does not participate in ADEQ's MAP.

July 16, 2009

Response provided by:			
Title:			
Company Name:			
Address:			
의 교육 (1985년 - 1985년 - 1985년 - 1985년 - 1985년 1985년 - 1985년			
Company Response Number: J	WL 1.7		

Q. Please use Arizona Corporation Commission's ("ACC") TARIFF SCHEDULE Revised on 1/8/2009 for Company's WATER OFF-SITE HOOK-UP FEE application. This form is located at ACC's Website. (http://www.azcc.gov/Divisions/Utilities/forms/HUF_Tariff_updated_1-8-09%20_Water_.pdf).

OBJECTION: The Company has submitted a proposed form of hook up fee tariff with its direct filing and is seeking Commission approval of this form of tariff. It is inappropriate for Staff to direct the Company to change its proposed tariff.

July 16, 2009

Response provided by:	에 가는 맛이 되어 가장을 하고 있는 동안 하는 말을 하는 데 없어 하지 않는 현대에 있다고 하나 있다. 나를 들어 하면 되는 것을 하고 말이다.
Title:	
Company Name:	
Address:	
Company Response Number: JWL 1.8	

Q. Please use ACC's TARIFF SCHEDULE Revised on 6/10/2009 for the Company's WASTEWATER OFF-SITE HOOK-UP FEE application. This form is located at ACC's Website. (http://www.azcc.gov/Divisions/Utilities/forms/HUF_Tariff_Wastewater_6-10-09.pdf).

OBJECTION: The Company has submitted a proposed form of hook up fee tariff with its direct filing and is seeking Commission approval of this form of tariff. It is inappropriate for Staff to direct the Company to change its proposed tariff.

July 16, 2009

Response provided by:		
Title:		
Company Name:		
Address:		
Company Response Number: JWI	L 1.9	

Q. Please provide a list of the capital expenditures that would be funded using the Company's proposed hook-up fees for both Water and Wastewater systems.

OBJECTION: The Company does not know at this time what capital expenditures will be funded with funds collected under this tariff if approved, however, the general type of plant to be funded is described in the proposed form of tariff.

July 16, 2009

Response provided by:		
Title:		
Company Name:		
Address:		
Company Response Number:	JWL 1.10	

Q. Please provide a detailed description of the plant items included in the capital expenditures listed above. This description should include justification of need and the scheduled plant construction start and in-service dates. Provide copies of any engineering analysis or studies that were prepared in support of the proposed plant additions for both Water and Wastewater systems.

OBJECTION: The Company does not know at this time what capital expenditures will be funded with funds collected under the HUF tariff if approved, however, the general type of plant to be funded is described in the proposed form of tariff.

July 24, 2009

Response provided by:

Greg Sorensen

Title:

Director of Operations

Company Name:

Algonquin Water Services

Address:

12725 W Indian School Rd Suite D-101

Avondale, AZ 85392

Company Response Number: JWL 1.11

Q. Describe in detail how the subject capital expenditures were used to develop/calculate the Company's proposed hook-up fee amounts both Water and Wastewater systems.

OBJECTION: The Company does not know at this time what capital expenditures will be funded with funds collected under the HUF tariff if approved, however, the general type of plant to be funded is described in the proposed form of tariff.

RESPONSE: Without waiving its objection, RRUI responds as follows. Please see Sorensen Testimony page 11. Additionally, the cost of sewer capacity is currently anticipated to be between \$8 and \$20 per gallon, with a standard home using between 200 and 320 gallons per day.

2215543.1

July 16, 2009

Response provided by:		마르마 크라는 다리가 있다. 보기 등의 한 1, 보기 된다.
Title:		
Company Name:		
Address:		
Company Response Num	iber: JWL 1.12	

Q. Please explain in detail how proposed water plant items benefit the entire water system.

OBJECTION: The Company does not know at this time what capital expenditures will be funded with funds collected under the HUF tariff if approved, however, the general type of plant to be funded is described in the proposed form of tariff.

12

2215543.1

July 16, 2009

Response provided by:	5일 : (10 개발) : (11 개발) : (12 개발) :
Title:	
Company Name:	
Address:	
Company Response Number: JWL 1.13	

Q. Please explain in detail how proposed wastewater plant items benefit the entire wastewater system.

OBJECTION: The Company does not know at this time what capital expenditures will be funded with funds collected under the HUF tariff if approved, however, the general type of plant to be funded is described in the proposed form of tariff.

2215543,1

July 24, 2009

Response provided by:

Greg Sorensen

Title:

Director of Operations

Company Name:

Algonquin Water Services

Address:

12725 W Indian School Rd Suite D-101

Avondale, AZ 85392

Company Response Number: JWL 1.14

Q. Why does the Company believe that its systems are a good candidate for the hook-up fee tariff? Please explain the benefits and drawbacks for the Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. with the proposed hook-up fee tariff.

RESPONSE: See Sorensen Testimony page 11. Additionally, the company believes that development should help pay for itself, and the utilization of a HUF would assist in this manner. The Parent Company currently has a significant rate base investment in the utility, and believes it would help keep rates down if there was a HUF to infuse CIAC for centralized plant for water (wells/pressure/storage) and sewer capacity/lift stations.

Attachment 4

Production capacity and storage capacity calculation for Rio Rico Utilities' Water System

spreadsheet file: wtrchk.xls

LETTER FILE NAME

DATE

WATER COMPANY

오늘 11. 이 집에 대학생들은 경우 이 그런 그렇게 되었다.		
MAIN EXTENSION WITH	Rio Rico Utilities PWS #12-011	
PEAK MONTH	Jun-08	
DAYS IN PEAK MONTH		30
WATER USE DURING PEAK MONTH (GAL)		87,027,000
NUMBER OF SERVICE CONNECTIONS		
IN PEAK MONTH		6,506
NUMBER OF SERVICE CONNECTIONS		
AT PRESENT		6,605
EXISTING STORAGE (GAL)		2,130,000
LARGEST PRODUCING WELL/SOURCE		
(GAL/MIN)		1,300
TOTAL WELL/SOURCE PRODUCTION		
(GAL/MIN)		5,275
FIRE FLOW (GAL/MIN)		1,150
DURATION (HOURS)		2
AVERAGE DAILY WATER USE DURING		
PEAK MONTH (GAL/DAY-SERVICE)		446
IS ADDITIONAL STORAGE REQUIRED?		no
AMT OF ADDITIONAL STORAGE REQ'D (GAL)		none
DEMAND ON PEAK DAY (GALLONS)		3,681,303
(PEAK DAY= 1.25x MONTH AVG)		
TOTAL DAILY SOURCE PRODUCTION (GAL)		7,596,000
IS ADDITIONAL PRODUCTION REQUIRED?		no
AMT OF ADD'L PRODUCTION REQ'D (GAL/MIN)		none
AWI OF ADDLINODOCTION (LLQD (GALAWIN))		HOMO
ADDITIONAL CONNECTIONS ALLOWABLE		
BASED ON STORAGE		10,700
ADDITIONAL CONNECTIONS ALLOWABLE		10,700
		7,024
BASED ON PRODUCTION		1,024